

Week 8

Lecture 2: Beyond Worst Case Analysis

*Instructors: Arindam Khan, Anand Louis**Scribe: Shirish Gosavi*

1 Introduction

A problem, in its general form, may be NP-hard but may turn out to be “easy” in some restricted form. One such problem is 3-coloring a 3-colorable graph.

A vertex coloring of a graph G is *proper* if no adjacent vertices receive the same color. The **chromatic number**, $\chi(G)$, of G is the minimum number of colors in a proper vertex coloring of G . A graph is k -colorable if each of the nodes can be assigned exactly one of k colors so that it is a proper vertex coloring of the graph. It is known that to decide whether a graph is 3-colorable is NP-complete. It is also known that the problem of properly coloring a graph with k colors, given that the chromatic number of graph is k , is NP-hard for any $k \geq 3$. In this lecture we will look at an algorithm that properly colors any δ -dense 3-colorable graph with high probability in polynomial time.

Before describing the coloring algorithm for δ -dense 3-colorable graphs, we will briefly describe a couple of algorithms related to special cases of 3-colorable graphs in the next section **2**. In section **3** we will describe the main algorithm covered in this lecture that properly colors a δ -dense 3-colorable graph in polynomial time. In the last section **4** we will show that otherwise hard problems such as *max cut* in a general case becomes “easy” for special instances of graphs known as low threshold rank graphs.

Specific references are mentioned at appropriate places. A couple of general references used are [1] and [2].

2 3-colorable graphs

If G is a complete 3-partite graph, then coloring is easy.

Algorithm 1: Coloring a 3-partite graph

1. Pick an arbitrary vertex v and color it “1”.
2. Color $N(v)$ using two colors. $N(v)$ consists of all the vertices belonging to the two partitions other than the partition of v . Therefore, they can be easily colored using two colors, “2” and “3”.
3. The remaining uncolored vertices in G will be from the partition corresponding to v . Therefore, they can be colored with “1”.

Let G be a random 3-colorable graph sampled as follows: partition the vertex set into 3 equal parts and add edges between different vertices belonging to different parts with probability p . For a large range of p , Alon and Kahale gave an algorithm to color it with 3 colors with high probability [3]. The authors claim that most k -colorable graphs are quite dense and, hence, easy to color. Their justification is that in a typical k -colorable graph, the number of common neighbors of any pair of vertices with the same color exceeds considerably that of any pair of vertices of distinct colors, and hence a simple coloring algorithm based on this fact already works with high probability. In their opinion it is more difficult to color sparser random k -colorable graphs. The main result presented in [3] is a polynomial-time algorithm that works for sparser random 3-colorable graphs. If the edge probability p satisfies $p \geq c/n$, where c is a sufficiently large absolute constant, the proposed algorithm optimally colors the corresponding random 3-colorable graph with high probability. It

uses spectral properties of the graph and is based on the fact that, *almost surely*, an accurate approximation of the color classes can be read from the eigenvectors corresponding to the smallest two eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix, A . In short, $A \approx E[A]$ w.h.p. The eigenvectors of A can be used to color the graph. We denote by $E[A]$ the expected adjacency matrix of the random 3-colorable graph, where the (i,j) -th entry corresponds to the probability that there is an edge between vertex i and vertex j . If vertex i and vertex j belongs to the same partition, then $E[A(i,j)] = 0$, otherwise $E[A(i,j)] = p$. So the expected adjacency matrix $E[A]$ is equal to $p \times A$ where A is the adjacency matrix of the complete 3-partite graph. Therefore, the eigenvectors of A and $E[A]$ will be the same. Alon and Kahale use this fact to obtain a valid 3-coloring with high probability.

3 Coloring algorithm for δ -dense 3-colorable graphs

In the following discussion we assume that the graph is δ -dense 3-colorable. A graph is δ -dense if minimum vertex degree is $\geq \delta n$ where n is the number of vertices.

Let us recall the definition of k -list coloring. Let each vertex i in the graph has a list L_i of at most k colors. Each vertex is to be assigned a color from its list such that $\text{color}[i] \neq \text{color}[j] \forall (i,j) \in E$. If such an assignment is possible, then the graph is k -list colorable and the assignment is called as k -list coloring of the graph.

It is known that 2-list coloring problem has a polynomial time algorithm. There is a polynomial time reduction from 2-list coloring problem to 2-SAT problem (see subsection 3.2) and there are many algorithms to solve a 2-SAT problem in polynomial time (e.g. [4]). The polynomial time algorithm to properly color a δ -dense 3-colorable graph is based on this fact. The following subsection describes the algorithm at a high level and the later subsections give details of each step mentioned in the algorithm.

3.1 Outline of the algorithm

The main steps in the algorithm are given below.

Algorithm 2: Coloring a δ -dense 3-colorable graph
--

- | |
|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sample a sufficiently large random subset of S of vertices. 2. “Guess” the coloring of S 3. Color $V \setminus S$ using the 2-list coloring algorithm. |
|--|

In step 1, if S is sufficiently large, w.h.p. each vertex $v \in V \setminus S$, will have a neighbor in S . This is because min vertex degree is $\geq \delta n$. The coloring of S can be done in brute-force manner by trying out each possibility till we get the desired coloring. It can be done in $3^{|S|}$ time. Once S is colored, each $v \in V \setminus S$ has only two choices of colors. Therefore, $V \setminus S$ vertices can be colored using the polynomial time algorithm for 2-list coloring. In the following subsections we will elaborate on the steps given the outline above 2.

3.2 2-list coloring

We will show the reduction from 2-list coloring to 2-SAT in polynomial time. Since 2-SAT is solvable in polynomial time, it will imply that 2-list coloring is also polynomial time solvable.

Reduction from 2-list coloring to 2-SAT

1. Each vertex $i \in V$ must be assigned one out of two colors $\{c_1^{(i)}, c_2^{(i)}\}$.

2. Introduce Boolean variable x_i for each vertex i .

$$x_i = \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{denotes } i \text{ getting color } c_1^{(i)} \\ \text{false} & \text{denotes } i \text{ getting color } c_2^{(i)} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

3. For edge $(i, j) \in E$, we have $color(i) \neq color(j)$. This can be formulated as 2-SAT constraint. Depending upon the color lists available at i and j , different scenarios arise and the 2-SAT constraint changes accordingly. All the different possible scenarios are described below.

- (a) $\{c_1^{(i)}, c_2^{(i)}\} \cap \{c_1^{(j)}, c_2^{(j)}\} = \emptyset$: If the lists associated with vertex i and vertex j are disjoint, the constraint is trivially satisfied as for any combination $color(i) \neq color(j)$.
- (b) $c_1^{(i)} = c_1^{(j)}$ and $c_2^{(i)} \neq c_2^{(j)}$: The first color in the list for vertex i is same as the first color in the list for vertex j . However, the second colors in the lists for both vertices are different from each other. Therefore, if the vertices are to get different colors, one of i and j must be colored with second color in its own list. It means either x_i or x_j or both must be false. That is, the coloring will be valid only if $\neg(x_i \wedge x_j) = \neg x_i \vee \neg x_j$ is *true*.
- (c) $c_1^{(i)} = c_2^{(j)}$ and $c_2^{(i)} \neq c_1^{(j)}$: The first color in the list of vertex i is same as the second color in the list of vertex j , however the second color in the list of vertex i is different from the first colors in the list of vertex j . Therefore, the coloring will be valid only if vertex i is assigned the second color from its list or vertex j is assigned the first color from its list. The variables x_i and x_j must be *false* and *true* respectively. This combination is captured by the expression in is in the then valid coloring if $\neg x_i \vee x_j$ is *true*.
- (d) $c_1^{(i)} = c_1^{(j)}$ and $c_2^{(i)} = c_2^{(j)}$: Applying the reasoning like previous case, there will be valid coloring if $\neg((x_i \wedge x_j) \vee (\neg x_i \wedge \neg x_j)) = (\neg x_i \vee \neg x_j) \wedge (x_i \vee x_j)$ is *true*.
- (e) $c_1^{(i)} = c_2^{(j)}$ and $c_2^{(i)} = c_1^{(j)}$: Coloring will be valid only if $\neg((x_i \wedge \neg x_j) \vee (\neg x_i \wedge x_j)) = (\neg x_i \vee x_j) \wedge (x_i \vee \neg x_j)$ is *true*.

Using the construction of clauses from the adjacency relationship between the vertices and the lists associated with the vertices, we can construct an instance of a 2-SAT problem. We can solve the 2-SAT instance using polynomial time algorithm and from the values assigned to Boolean variables in the solution, we can deduce the color for each vertex. Thus, 2-list coloring can be solved in polynomial time.

3.3 Sampling S

The first step of the algorithm is to sample a sufficiently large random subset S of vertices such that every vertex $v \in V \setminus S$ has a neighbor in S with high probability.

Let each $v \in V$ is added to S independently with probability p . We will now find out suitable value for p , that will ensure the desired property in S , that is, each vertex in $V \setminus S$ has a neighbor in S with high probability.

Consider a vertex $u \in V$. The probability that none of u 's neighbors are in S is given by the following sequence of expressions.

$$Pr[|N(u) \cap S| = 0] = (1 - p)^{|N(u)|} \leq (1 - p)^{\delta n} \leq e^{-p\delta n} \quad (2)$$

If we choose $p = \frac{10 \log n}{\delta n}$, then

$$Pr[|N(u) \cap S| = 0] \leq e^{-p\delta n} = e^{-10 \log n} = n^{-10} \quad (3)$$

Since vertices are added independently, the upper bound on the probability that at least one vertex $v \in V \setminus S$ does not have a neighbor in S is $\sum_{i=1}^n n^{-10} = n(n^{-10}) = n^{-9}$. Therefore, with probability at least $1 - n^{-9}$, each vertex $v \in V$ has a neighbor in S .

With the chosen value of p ($p = \frac{10 \log n}{\delta n}$), we will get the following:

- Expected size of sample = $E[|S|] = pn = \frac{10 \log n}{\delta}$
- Using Chernoff bound, $\Pr \left[|S| \leq \frac{20 \log n}{\delta} \right] \geq 1 - n^{-O(1)}$. Thus, $|S|$ is less than or equal to $\frac{20 \log n}{\delta}$ with high probability.
- Even if brute force method is used to color vertices in S in all possible ways, the cost will not be too much as can be seen from the inequalities given below.
Number of possible colorings of S is at most $3^{|S|} \leq 3^{\frac{20 \log n}{\delta}} \leq n^{O(\frac{1}{\delta})}$, which is a polynomial since δ is a constant.

3.4 Coloring $V \setminus S$

We have already seen that S can be colored using 3 colors and the operation is not expensive. We have also seen that every vertex in $V \setminus S$ has a neighbor in S with high probability. Therefore, with high probability, each vertex in $V \setminus S$ has at most 2 possible colors. Thus, coloring of vertices in $V \setminus S$ is nothing but the 2-list coloring problem. As discussed earlier, it can be solved in polynomial time by reducing it to 2SAT problem. Summing up all the results shown above, we can conclude that a δ -dense 3 colorable graph can be colored in time $n^{O(\frac{1}{\delta})}$ with high probability.

This concludes our discussion about the polynomial time coloring algorithm to color a δ -dense 3-colorable graph with high probability.

4 Low threshold rank graphs

Researchers have found that dense instances are “easy” for any 2-CSP, for example max cut, max 2-SAT, unique games etc. It is also observed that instances which are close to “expanders” are “easy” for any 2-CSP. Let $\mathcal{A} = D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ be the normalized adjacency matrix of the graph.

Let $rank_{\geq \varepsilon}(G)$ be the number of eigenvalues of \mathcal{A} which are $\geq \varepsilon$.

The following theorem formalizes the notion that instances which are “close” to expanders are “easy” for any 2-CSP.

Theorem 1. (informal statement) [5] and [6] : For problems such as 2-CSP, etc. there exists an algorithm running in time $n^{O(rank_{\geq \varepsilon}(G)/\varepsilon^2)}$ and produces a $1 + O(\varepsilon)$ approximation to the problem.

4.1 Low threshold rank graphs

For a β -spectral expander G , $rank_{\geq \varepsilon}(G) = 1$ for $\varepsilon \geq \beta$.

Let G be a (δn) -regular graph.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(\mathcal{A}^2) &= \|\mathcal{A}\|_F^2 = n \cdot (\delta n) \cdot \frac{1}{(\delta n)^2} = \frac{1}{\delta} \\ \text{trace}(\mathcal{A}^2) &= \sum_{i \in [n]} \lambda_i((\mathcal{A})^2) \geq \varepsilon^2 |\{i : |\lambda_i| \geq \varepsilon\}| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $rank_{\geq \varepsilon}(G) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 \delta}$. Applying the theorem 1 we can immediately see that for problems such as 2-CSP etc there exists a polynomial time algorithm with $1 + O(\varepsilon)$ approximation to the problem.

Therefore, low threshold rank graphs serve as examples of ”easy” instances for any 2-CSP.

References

- [1] V. VAZIRANI, *Approximation Algorithms*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013. URL: <https://books.google.co.in/books?id=bJmqCAAAQBAJ>.
- [2] D. P. WILLIAMSON AND D. B. SHMOYS, *The Design of Approximation Algorithms.*, Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [3] N. ALON AND N. KAHALE, *A spectral technique for coloring random 3-colorable graphs*, SIAM J Comput **26** (1970). doi:10.1137/S0097539794270248.
- [4] B. ASPVALL, M. PLASS AND R. TARJAN, *A linear-time algorithm for testing the truth of certain quantified boolean formulas*, Information Processing Letters **14** (1982). doi:10.1016/0020-0190(82)90036-9.
- [5] B. BARAK, P. RAGHAVENDRA AND D. STEURER, *Rounding semidefinite programming hierarchies via global correlation*, CoRR **abs/1104.4680** (2011). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.4680>, arXiv:1104.4680.
- [6] V. GURUSWAMI AND A. K. SINOP, *Lasserre hierarchy, higher eigenvalues, and approximation schemes for quadratic integer programming with PSD objectives*, CoRR **abs/1104.4746** (2011). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.4746>, arXiv:1104.4746.