

## Chalk &amp; Talk Session

Instructor: Arpita Patra

Submitted by: (Arnab Sen Ramit Hansda)

## Introduction

In this report we are dealing with the sufficiency conditions for a graph  $\mathbb{G}$  to be a Hamilton graph introduced by Chvatal (1972). A cycle in a graph which contains all the vertices of the graph only once is called **Hamilton cycle**. A graph is called **Hamilton graph** iff it has a Hamilton cycle.

## Chvatal's Sufficiency Condition for Hamiltonian Graph

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices where  $n \geq 3$  with degree sequence  $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  such that  $(d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n)$  satisfies the following condition: if there is an integer  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k < \frac{n}{2}$  and  $d_k \leq k$ , then  $d_{n-k} \geq n - k$ . Then  $\mathbb{G}$  is Hamiltonian.

## Proof

We'll prove this theorem by contradiction. Let's assume there exists a non-Hamilton graph  $\mathbb{G}$  which satisfies Chvatal condition. Now we add the non adjacent vertices by an edge until we reach such a position where adding an extra edge will make the graph Hamilton. We'll call this resulting graph as  $\mathbb{H}$ . So,  $\mathbb{G}$  is a spanning subgraph of the resulting graph  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $\deg_{\mathbb{H}}(u) \geq \deg_{\mathbb{G}}(u) \forall u \in \mathbb{G}$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{H}$  too satisfies Chvatal condition. Now assume,  $(d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n)$  is degree sequence of  $\mathbb{H}$ . Now, we claim that there will at least one pair of vertices  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{H}$  such that  $u, v$  are non-adjacent to each other. Otherwise,  $\mathbb{H}$  is a complete graph and a complete graph is always a Hamilton graph which contradicts our assumption that  $\mathbb{H}$  is non-Hamilton. Among all these non-adjacent pairs we choose the pair  $(u, v)$  such that  $d(u) + d(v)$  is maximum for all non-adjacent pairs. ....(1)

Now, by construction of the graph  $\mathbb{H}$  we can see that adding  $u$  and  $v$  by an edge will create a Hamilton cycle. So, there exists a Hamilton path between  $u$  and  $v$  already. Let the path be  $\mathbb{P} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n)$  where  $u_1 = u$  and  $u_n = v$ . Now, if  $u$  is adjacent to a vertex  $u_j$  then  $v$  is non-adjacent to the vertex  $u_{j-1}$ , because otherwise, it will form a Hamilton cycle as  $\mathbb{C} = (u_1, u_j, u_{j+1}, \dots, u_n, u_{j-1}, \dots, u_1)$ . So, for each adjacent vertex to  $u$  there is one non-adjacent vertex to  $v$ . So, there are at least  $d(u)$  vertices which are non-adjacent to  $v$ . So,

$$d(v) \leq n - 1 - d(u)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(u) + d(v) \leq n - 1 \dots \dots (2)$$

Without the loss of generality we can assume that

$$d(u) \leq d(v) \text{ and } d(u) = k \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

So,  $k < \frac{n}{2}$ .....(4)

Now as per our assumption  $d(u)+d(v)$  is maximum for any non-adjacent pair of vertices, every non-adjacent vertices  $(u_{j-1})$  to  $v$  has a degree atmost  $d(u)$  ( $=k$ ). as we've already shown there is one vertex  $u_{j-1}$  non-adjacent to  $v$  for each adjacent vertex  $u_j$  of  $u$ . So, there are  $k$  vertices with degree atmost  $k$ . Since, we've arranged the degrees in non-decreasing order, it follows that

$$d_k \leq k \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

Since,  $u$  is adjacent to  $k$  vertices, it's non-adjacent to  $n-1-k$  vertices (other than  $u$ ). Again by the maximality of  $d(u)+d(v)$  each of the  $n-1-k$  vertices has degree atmost  $d(v)$  ( $\leq n-1-k$ ) [from (2)]. Now from (2) and (3) we have  $d(u) \leq d(v) \leq n-1-k$ . Hence taking vertex  $v$  into account there are atleast  $n-k$  vertices of degree atmost  $n-1-k$ . Since we have arranged the degree sequence in non-decreasing order, it follows that

$$d_{n-k} \leq n-1-k \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

Thus we have found a  $k$  such that  $k < \frac{n}{2}$  (From 4),  $d_k \leq k$  (from 5) and  $d_{n-k} \leq n-1-k$  (from 6). So, it infers that graph  $\mathbb{H}$  is not Chvatal.  $\mathbb{H}$  was obtained from graph  $\mathbb{G}$  by adding some edges. So,  $\mathbb{G}$  is also not satisfying Chvatal's condition which contradicts our assumption. From this by contrapositive argument we can infer that  $\mathbb{G}$  is a Hamilton graph.