

Lec 16

Simple observations.

Obs: If there's a NTM M that decides L in time $T(n)$, then there's a NTM M' that decides L in time $T'(n) = O(T(n))$ and every comp. path of M' on i/p x has length exactly $T'(|x|)$. Moreover, we can also ensure the following:

following:

(a) More than $\frac{1}{2}$ the comp. paths of M on i/p x are accepting

$\Leftrightarrow$ " " " " " " " " " " "

(b) Parity of the no. of acc. paths of M on $i/p\ x$ =
 " " " " " " " " M' " " " "

Obs: If there's a PTM M that has run-time $T(n)$,
 then there's a PTM M' that has run-time $T'(n) = O(T(n))$
 s.t. $\Pr(M'(x) = 1) = \Pr(M(x) = 1) \quad \forall x \in \{0, 1\}^*$,
 & every comp. path of M' on i/p x has length exactly
 $T'(|x|)$.

Class PP (Prob. Poly-time) [Decision version of class #P]

Defn: A lang. L is in PP if there's a poly-time PTM
 M s.t.

$$x \in L \iff \Pr\{M(x) = 1\} > \frac{1}{2}.$$



Defn: L is in PP if there's a poly-time NTM M s.t.

$x \in L \Leftrightarrow$ majority (strictly $> \frac{1}{2}$) of the comp. paths of M on i/p x are accepting.



Defn: L is in PP if there's a poly-time DTM M & a poly func. $P(\cdot)$ s.t.

$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \left| \left\{ u \in \{0,1\}^{P(|x|)} : M(x,u) = 1 \right\} \right| > \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{P(|x|)}.$

PP-completeness

Let $\text{MajSAT} := \{ \text{Bool. ckt } \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \#\varphi > 2^{n-1} \}$.

Obs: MajSAT is PP-complete under poly-time Karp reduction.

Lemma: $P^{\text{MajSAT}} = P^{\#\text{SAT}}$ $\left(P^{\text{PP}} = P^{\#\text{P}} \right)$

Pf: $\Rightarrow \text{MajSAT} \in P^{\#\text{SAT}}$ (easy).

$\Leftarrow \#\text{SAT} \in P^{\text{MajSAT}}$.

Let $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the i/p ~~formula~~/ckt. We wish to compute $\#\varphi$. Let $M(b_1, \dots, b_n, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a DTM. that o/p's 1 iff $\text{Int}(\underline{x}) < \text{Int}(\underline{b})$.

$$M(\underline{b}, \underline{x}) \xrightarrow[\text{fixing } \underline{b}]{\text{Cook-Levin.}} \psi_{\underline{b}}(\underline{x})$$

Obs: $\# \psi_{\underline{b}}(\underline{x}) = \text{Int}(\underline{b}) \in [0, 2^n - 1]$

$$\Gamma_{\underline{b}}(z, \underline{x}) = (z \wedge \varphi(\underline{x})) \vee (\neg z \wedge \psi_{\underline{b}}(\underline{x}))$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\# \Gamma_{\underline{b}}(z, \underline{x}) = \# \varphi + \text{Int}(\underline{b})}$$

Query $\Gamma_{\underline{b}}(z, \underline{x})$ to MajSAT oracle & check if

$$\# \Gamma_{\underline{b}}(z, \underline{x}) > \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{n+1} = 2^n.$$

Now use binary search to find the smallest $\underline{b}$ s.t.
 $\# \varphi + \text{Int}(\underline{b}) = 2^n \Rightarrow \# \varphi = 2^n - \text{Int}(\underline{b}) .$
 $\square$

Today's thm: $PH \subseteq P^{\#SAT} = P^{\text{MajSAT}} .$

Clearly, $NP, \text{co-NP} \subseteq P^{\#SAT} .$

Class $\oplus P$ (Parity P)

Defn: A language L is in $\oplus P$ if there's a NTM M
s.t. $x \in L$ iff the no. of acc. paths of M on
c/p x is odd.

$$\oplus SAT := \{ \text{Bool. ckt. } \varphi : \# \varphi \text{ is odd} \}.$$

Obs: $\oplus SAT$ is $\oplus P$ -complete under poly-time Karp reduction.

Obs: $\text{co-}\oplus P = \oplus P$, i.e., $\oplus P$ is closed under complementation.

Pf. sketch: $\overline{\oplus SAT} = \{ \text{Bool. ckt. } \varphi : \# \varphi \text{ is even} \}$ is $\text{co-}\oplus P$ complete.

$$\psi(z, \underline{x}) := (z \wedge \varphi(\underline{x})) \vee (\neg z \wedge x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \# \psi(z, \underline{x}) = \# \varphi(\underline{x}) + 1 ; \quad \text{We'll denote } \psi(z, \underline{x}) \text{ as } \varphi + 1.$$

$$\therefore \varphi(\underline{x}) \in \overline{\oplus SAT} \Leftrightarrow \psi(z, \underline{x}) \in \oplus SAT$$

Think of a NTM that on i/p φ , forms $\psi(z, \underline{x})$, guesses z & $\underline{x}$ & o/p's $\psi(z, \underline{x})$. $\square$ (bill in proof)

Treating $\oplus$ as a quantifier (just like $\exists$ & $\forall$)

Defn: For a Bool. clt $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, we say $\bigoplus_{\underline{x}} \varphi(\underline{x})$ is true if $\# \varphi$ is odd.

We could have defined $\oplus$ SAT as the set of all true quantified Bool. clts. of the form $\bigoplus_{\underline{x}} \varphi(\underline{x})$.

Today's then: Proof sketch.

Step 1: Give a rand. poly-time reduction from PH to $\oplus$ SAT.

Step 2: (derandomization of Step 1) Give a
det. poly-time reduction from PH to #SAT.

Open: It is not known if $NP \subseteq P^{\oplus SAT}$.

Proof of Step 1 uses the Valiant-Vazirani thm.

$USAT := \{ \text{Bool. det } \varphi : \# \varphi = 1 \}$.

↑
unique.

Obs: $USAT \subseteq \oplus SAT$.

Theorem: (Valiant-Vaz. '86): There's a randomized poly-time reduction f s.t. for every n -variate B.clt φ , the following holds:

$$\varphi \in \text{SAT} \Rightarrow \Pr[f(\varphi) \in \text{USAT}] \geq \frac{1}{8n}$$

$$\varphi \notin \text{SAT} \Rightarrow \Pr[f(\varphi) \notin \text{SAT}] = 1$$

Cor 1: We get a rand. poly-time red. from SAT to $\oplus \text{SAT}$ with succ. prob. $\geq \frac{1}{8n}$

(we'll boost this prob.).

Pf. of the V-V thm.

Lemma: (VV'86) Let $\mathcal{H}_{n,k}$ be a family of pairwise ind. hash func. from $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^k$, and $S \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$ s.t. $2^{k-2} \leq |S| \leq 2^{k-1}$. Then,
$$\Pr_{h \in \mathcal{H}_{n,k}} \left\{ \text{there's a unique } \underline{x} \in S \text{ s.t. } h(\underline{x}) = 0^k \right\} \geq \frac{1}{8}.$$

Pf.: For every $\underline{x}, \underline{x}' \in S$, $\underline{x} \neq \underline{x}'$,
$$\triangleright \Pr \{h(\underline{x}) = 0^k\} = \Pr \{h(\underline{x}') = 0^k\} = \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

$$\triangleright \Pr \{h(\underline{x}) = 0^k \wedge h(\underline{x}') = 0^k\} = \frac{1}{2^{2k}}.$$

$N := \# \text{ of } x \in S \text{ s.t. } h(x) = 0^k.$

We would like to lower bound

$$\Pr \{N=1\}.$$

Observe, $\Pr \{N=1\} + \Pr \{N \geq 2\} = \Pr \{N \geq 1\}$

$$\therefore \Pr \{N=1\} = \Pr \{N \geq 1\} - \Pr \{N \geq 2\}$$

↑
need to l.b

↑
need to u.b.

$$\triangleright \Pr \{N \geq 2\} \leq \binom{|S|}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \cdot (U.B.)$$

$$\triangleright \Pr \{N \geq 1\} \geq |S| \cdot \frac{1}{2^k} - \binom{|S|}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \quad (L.B.)$$

↓
by inclusion-exclusion.

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore \Pr \{N=1\} &\geq |S| \cdot \frac{1}{2^k} - 2 \cdot \binom{|S|}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \\
&\geq |S| \cdot \frac{1}{2^k} - |S|^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{8} \quad \left(\because 2^{k-2} \leq |S| \leq 2^{k-1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \frac{1}{4} \leq |S| \cdot \frac{1}{2^k} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$



Let M be a DTM that takes input $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
 $h \in \{1\}_{n,k}$ & $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ & o/p's 1 iff
 $h(x) = 0^k$. $M(k, h, x) \xrightarrow[\text{for fixed } k, h]{\text{Cook-Levin}} \psi_{k,h}(x) \hookrightarrow \text{Bool. det.}$

Now think of the following randomized red.

$$\varphi(x) \xrightarrow[\substack{1. \text{ Picks } k \in_r \{2, \dots, n+1\}, \\ 2. \text{ Picks } h \in_r H_{n,k}}]{f} \varphi(x) \wedge \psi_{k,h}(x).$$

Obs: If $\varphi(x) \notin \text{SAT}$ then $f(\varphi) \notin \text{SAT}$.

Obs: If $\varphi(x) \in \text{SAT}$, then $f(\varphi) \in \text{USAT}$ w.p. $\geq \frac{1}{8n}$.

Pf: Let $S := \text{set of satisfying assign. of } \varphi$.

$$2^0 \leq |S| \leq 2^n.$$

▷ With prob. $\frac{1}{n}$ the red. f chooses the "right" k , i.e.,
 k solutions, $2^{k-2} \leq |S| \leq 2^{k-1}$.

▷ conditional on the "right" choice of k ,

$$\Pr_h \left[\exists \text{ unique } \underline{x} \in S \text{ s.t. } h(\underline{x}) = 0^k \right] \geq \frac{1}{8} \quad (\text{v.v. lemma})$$

$$\downarrow$$
$$\varphi(\underline{x}) = 1$$

$$\downarrow$$
$$\psi_{k,h}(\underline{x}) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(\underline{x}) \wedge \psi_{k,h}(\underline{x}) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr_{k,h} \left[f(\varphi) \text{ has a unique satisfying assignment} \right] \geq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{8n}$$

□

