

Visibly pushdown languages

Sabuj Kumar Jena

Department of Computer Science and Automation
Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore.

23 October 2013

Acknowledgment

I am thankful to **Prof. Deepak D'Souza** for assigning the siad seminar topic. I would like to acknowledge **Prof. Rajeev Alur** and **Prof. P. Madhusudan** for their paper titled **Visibly Pushdown Languages**.

References

- Rajeev Alur, P. Madhusudan. **Visibly Pushdown Languages**. STOC'04, June 13-15, 2004, Chicago, Illinois, USA.
- Deepak D'Souza, Priti Shankar. **Modern Applications of Automata Theory**. ISBN: 978-981-4271-04-2.
- Wikipedia

Outline

- 1 Visibly pushdown automata (VPA)
- 2 Closure properties
- 3 Visibly pushdown grammar (VPG)
- 4 Logical Characterisation
- 5 Decision Problems
- 6 Relation to Regular Tree Languages
- 7 Visibly pushdown ω -languages

Visibly pushdown automata (VPA)

The alphabet Σ is partitioned into $\widetilde{\Sigma} = \langle \Sigma_c, \Sigma_r, \Sigma_l \rangle$

- Σ_c : finite set of **calls**,
- Σ_r : finite set of **returns**,
- Σ_l : finite set of **local actions**.

A (nondeterministic) VPA \mathcal{A} is a tuple $(Q, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, \perp, F)$, where

- Q is a finite set of states,
- $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ is input alphabet,
- Γ is stack alphabet,
- $\delta \subseteq Q \times \Sigma_c \times Q \times (\Gamma \setminus \{\perp\}) \cup Q \times \Sigma_r \times \Gamma \times Q \cup Q \times \Sigma_l \times Q$,
- q_0 is the initial state,
- \perp is the bottom symbol of the stack,
- $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of final states

Visibly pushdown automata (VPA)

The alphabet Σ is partitioned into $\widetilde{\Sigma} = \langle \Sigma_c, \Sigma_r, \Sigma_l \rangle$

- Σ_c : finite set of **calls**,
- Σ_r : finite set of **returns**,
- Σ_l : finite set of **local actions**.

A (nondeterministic) VPA \mathcal{A} is a tuple $(Q, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, \perp, F)$, where

- Q is a finite set of states,
- $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ is input alphabet,
- Γ is stack alphabet,
- $\delta \subseteq Q \times \Sigma_c \times Q \times (\Gamma \setminus \{\perp\}) \cup Q \times \Sigma_r \times \Gamma \times Q \cup Q \times \Sigma_l \times Q$,
- q_0 is the initial state,
- \perp is the bottom symbol of the stack,
- $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of final states

Note:

- No **ϵ -transitions**,
- Exactly **one symbol** is pushed in **each** call transition.

Visibly pushdown automata (VPA)

A (nondeterministic) VPA \mathcal{A} is a tuple $(Q, \tilde{\Sigma}, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, \perp, F)$, where

- Q is a finite set of states,
- $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is input alphabet,
- Γ is stack alphabet,
- $\delta \subseteq Q \times \Sigma_c \times Q \times (\Gamma \setminus \{\perp\}) \cup Q \times \Sigma_r \times \Gamma \times Q \cup Q \times \Sigma_l \times Q$,
- q_0 is the initial state,
- \perp is the bottom symbol of the stack,
- $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of final states

A *deterministic* VPA is a VPA $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \tilde{\Sigma}, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ such that

- for every $(q, a) \in Q \times \Sigma_c$, there is atmost one pair $(q', \gamma) \in Q \times (\Gamma \setminus \{\perp\})$ such that $(q, a, q', \gamma) \in \delta$
- for every $(q, a, \gamma) \in Q \times \Sigma_r \times \Gamma$, there is atmost one $q' \in Q$ such that $(q, a, \gamma, q') \in \delta$
- for every $(q, a) \in Q \times \Sigma_l$, there is atmost one $q' \in Q$ such that $(q, a, q') \in \delta$

A deterministic VPA is *complete* if *atmost* is replaced by *exactly*.

Visibly pushdown automata (VPA): continued

For a word $w = a_1 \dots a_n$ in Σ^* , a run of a VPA \mathcal{A} over w is a *sequence* $(q_0, \alpha_0)(q_1, \alpha_1) \dots (q_n, \alpha_n)$ s.t

- $\forall i. q_i \in Q$,
- $\forall i. \sigma_i \in St$, where $St = (\Gamma \setminus \{\perp\})^* \cdot \{\perp\}$ denotes the set of all stacks.
- $\alpha_0 = \perp$,
- $\forall i : 1 \leq i \leq n$, one of the following holds,
 - Call** $a_i \in \Sigma_c, \exists \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\perp\}$. s.t. $(q_i, a_i, q_{i+1}, \gamma) \in \delta, \alpha_{i+1} = \gamma \alpha_i$,
 - Return** $a_i \in \Sigma_r$,
 - $\exists \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\perp\}$. s.t. $(q_i, a_i, \gamma, q_{i+1}) \in \delta, \alpha_i = \gamma \alpha_{i+1}$,
 - or $(q_i, a_i, \perp, q_{i+1}) \in \delta$, and $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1} = \perp$,
 - Local** $a_i \in \Sigma_l, (q_i, a_i, q_{i+1}) \in \delta$ and $\alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_i$.

Visibly pushdown automata (VPA): continued

For a word $w = a_1 \dots a_n$ in Σ^* , a run of a VPA \mathcal{A} over w is a *sequence* $(q_0, \alpha_0)(q_1, \alpha_1) \dots (q_n, \alpha_n)$ s.t

- $\forall i. q_i \in Q$,
- $\forall i. \sigma_i \in St$, where $St = (\Gamma \setminus \{\perp\})^* \cdot \{\perp\}$ denotes the set of all stacks.
- $\alpha_0 = \perp$,
- $\forall i : 1 \leq i \leq n$, one of the following holds,
 - Call** $a_i \in \Sigma_c, \exists \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\perp\}$. s.t. $(q_i, a_i, q_{i+1}, \gamma) \in \delta, \alpha_{i+1} = \gamma \alpha_i$,
 - Return** $a_i \in \Sigma_r$,
 - $\exists \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\perp\}$. s.t. $(q_i, a_i, \gamma, q_{i+1}) \in \delta, \alpha_i = \gamma \alpha_{i+1}$,
 - or $(q_i, a_i, \perp, q_{i+1}) \in \delta$, and $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1} = \perp$,
 - Local** $a_i \in \Sigma_l, (q_i, a_i, q_{i+1}) \in \delta$ and $\alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_i$.

A run $(q_0, \alpha_0)(q_1, \alpha_1) \dots (q_n, \alpha_n)$ is *accepting* if $q_n \in F$.

A word w is accepted by a VPA \mathcal{A} if \exists an accepting run of \mathcal{A} over w .

The set of words accepted by \mathcal{A} is denoted by $L(\mathcal{A})$

Note: Acceptance by VPAs are defined by **final states**, not by empty stack.

Well-matched words

Let $\widetilde{\Sigma} = \langle \Sigma_c, \Sigma_r, \Sigma_l \rangle$.

The set of *well-matched* words $w \in \Sigma^*$ is defined inductively as follows,

- ϵ is well-matched.
- if w' is well matched, then
$$w = aw' \text{ or } w = w'a \text{ such that } a \in \Sigma_l \text{ is well matched.}$$
- if w' is well matched, then
$$w = aw'b \text{ such that } a \in \Sigma_c, b \in \Sigma_r \text{ is well matched.}$$
- if w' and w'' is well matched, then
$$w = w'w'' \text{ is well matched.}$$

Example: $(())()$ is well matched, while neither $()))$ nor $(()$ is.

Visibly pushdown languages (VPL)

A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is a *visibly pushdown language* **with respect to** $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ (a $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ – VPL) if there is a VPA \mathcal{A} over $\widetilde{\Sigma}$, satisfying that $L(\mathcal{A}) = L$.

Example 1:

The language $\{a^n b^n | n \geq 1\}$ is a VPL
with respect to $\widetilde{\Sigma} = \langle \{a\}, \{b\}, \Phi \rangle$

Visibly pushdown languages (VPL)

A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is a *visibly pushdown language* **with respect to** $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ (a $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ – VPL) if there is a VPA \mathcal{A} over $\widetilde{\Sigma}$, satisfying that $L(\mathcal{A}) = L$.

Example 1:

The language $\{a^n b^n | n \geq 1\}$ is a VPL
with respect to $\widetilde{\Sigma} = \langle \{a\}, \{b\}, \Phi \rangle$

Is every CFL a VPL?

Visibly pushdown languages (VPL)

A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is a *visibly pushdown language* **with respect to** $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ (a $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ – VPL) if there is a VPA \mathcal{A} over $\widetilde{\Sigma}$, satisfying that $L(\mathcal{A}) = L$.

Example 1:

The language $\{a^n b^n | n \geq 1\}$ is a VPL
with respect to $\widetilde{\Sigma} = \langle \{a\}, \{b\}, \Phi \rangle$

Is every CFL a VPL?

Example 2:

The CFL $\{a^n b a^n | n \geq 1\}$ is not a VPL with respect to any partition $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ of the alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$

Visibly pushdown languages (VPL)

A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is a *visibly pushdown language* **with respect to** $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ (a $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ – VPL) if there is a VPA \mathcal{A} over $\widetilde{\Sigma}$, satisfying that $L(\mathcal{A}) = L$.

Example 1:

The language $\{a^n b^n | n \geq 1\}$ is a VPL
with respect to $\widetilde{\Sigma} = \langle \{a\}, \{b\}, \Phi \rangle$

Is every CFL a VPL?

Example 2:

The CFL $\{a^n b a^n | n \geq 1\}$ is not a VPL with respect to any partition $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ of the alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$

The class of VPLs is a strictly subclass of the class of CFLs.

Visibly pushdown languages (VPL)

A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is a *visibly pushdown language* **with respect to** $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ (a $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ – VPL) if there is a VPA \mathcal{A} over $\widetilde{\Sigma}$, satisfying that $L(\mathcal{A}) = L$.

Example 1:

The language $\{a^n b^n | n \geq 1\}$ is a VPL
with respect to $\widetilde{\Sigma} = \langle \{a\}, \{b\}, \Phi \rangle$

Is every CFL a VPL?

Example 2:

The CFL $\{a^n b a^n | n \geq 1\}$ is not a VPL with respect to any partition $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ of the alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$

The class of VPLs is a strictly subclass of the class of CFLs.

But, for every CFL we can associate a VPL over a different alphabet .

Embedding of CFL as VPLs

Proposition. For every CFL $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$, there exists a VPL $L' \subseteq (\Sigma')^*$ with respect to some $\widetilde{\Sigma}'$ and a homomorphism $h : (\Sigma')^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ such that $L = h(L')$

Let L be a CFL defined by a PDA $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0, F)$

W.l.o.g, suppose that each $(q, a, X, \alpha) \in \delta$ satisfies that

$\alpha = \epsilon$ (pop) or $\alpha = X$ (stable) or $\alpha = YX$ (push).

Let $\Sigma' = (\Sigma \cup \{\sigma_\epsilon\}) \times \{c, r, l\}$ and

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}' = \langle (\Sigma \cup \{\sigma_\epsilon\}) \times \{c\}, (\Sigma \cup \{\sigma_\epsilon\}) \times \{r\}, (\Sigma \cup \{\sigma_\epsilon\}) \times \{l\} \rangle$$

From \mathcal{A} , define VPA $\mathcal{A}' = (Q', \widetilde{\Sigma}', \Gamma, \delta', q_0, Z_0, F)$ over $\widetilde{\Sigma}'$, where $Q \subseteq Q'$ and δ' is defined by the following rules,

- if $(q, a, X, q', \epsilon) \in \delta$, then $(q, (a, r), X, q') \in \delta'$,
- if $(q, a, X, q', X) \in \delta$, then add a new state q_1 ,
 $(q, (a, r), X, q_1), (q_1, (\sigma_\epsilon, c), q_2, X) \in \delta'$.
- if $(q, a, X, q', YX) \in \delta$, then add two new states q_1, q_2 and
 $(q, (a, r), X, q_1), (q_1, (\sigma_\epsilon, c), q_2, X), (q_2, (\sigma_\epsilon, c), q', Y) \in \delta'$.

Embedding of CFL as VPLs continued

A word $w = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ is accepted by PDA \mathcal{A} iff there is some augmentation w' of w , $w' = (a'_1, b_1)(a'_2, b_2) \dots (a'_m, b_m)$ where each $b_i \in \{c, r, l\}$ and each $a'_i \in \Sigma \cup \{\sigma_\epsilon\}$, such that w' is accepted by \mathcal{A}'

Let $h : (\Sigma')^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ be a homomorphism defined by $\forall a \in \Sigma, s \in \{c, r, l\}$. s.t.
 $h((a, s)) = a, h((\sigma_\epsilon, s)) = \epsilon$. Then $L = h(L(\mathcal{A}'))$.

Outline

- 1 Visibly pushdown automata (VPA)
- 2 Closure properties
- 3 Visibly pushdown grammar (VPG)
- 4 Logical Characterisation
- 5 Decision Problems
- 6 Relation to Regular Tree Languages
- 7 Visibly pushdown ω -languages

Union and intersection

Proposition. VPLs with respect to $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ are closed under union and intersection.

Let $\mathcal{A}_1 = (Q_1, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \Gamma_1, \delta_1, q_0^1, \perp_1, F_1)$ and

$\mathcal{A}_2 = (Q_2, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \Gamma_2, \delta_2, q_0^2, \perp_2, F_2)$ be two VPAs.

Union.

Without loss of generality, suppose $\perp_1 = \perp_2 = \perp$.

The VPA $\mathcal{A} = (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup q_0, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2, \delta, q_0, \perp, F_1 \cup F_2)$ s.t.

$\delta = \delta_1 \cup \delta_2 \cup \{(q_0, a, q', \gamma) \mid (q_0^1, a, q', \gamma) \in \delta_1 \text{ or } (q_0^2, a, q', \gamma) \in \delta_2\} \cup$

$\{(q_0, a, \gamma, q') \mid (q_0^1, a, \gamma, q') \in \delta_1 \text{ or } (q_0^2, a, \gamma, q') \in \delta_2\}$

defines $L(\mathcal{A}_1) \cup L(\mathcal{A}_2)$

Intersection.

The VPA $\mathcal{A} = (Q_1 \times Q_2, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2, \delta, (q_0^1, q_0^2), (\perp_1, \perp_2), F_1 \times F_2)$ s.t.

$\delta = \{((q_1, q_2), a, (q'_1, q'_2), (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)) \mid (q_1, a, q'_1, \gamma_1) \in \delta_1, (q_2, a, q'_2, \gamma_2) \in \delta_2\} \cup$

$\{((q_1, q_2), a, (\gamma_1, \gamma_2), (q'_1, q'_2)) \mid (q_1, a, \gamma_1, q'_1) \in \delta_1, (q_2, a, \gamma_2, q'_2) \in \delta_2\}$

defines $L(\mathcal{A}_1) \cap L(\mathcal{A}_2)$

Complementation

Theorem. For every VPA \mathcal{A} , a deterministic VPA \mathcal{A}' can be constructed such that $L(\mathcal{A}) = L(\mathcal{A}')$.

Corollary. VPLs with respect to $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ are closed under complementation.

Proof.

Suppose L is defined by a complete deterministic VPA $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, \perp, F)$.

Then $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, \perp, Q \setminus F)$ defines $\Sigma^* \setminus L(\mathcal{A})$. □

Determinisation of VPA

The construction of the deterministic VPA $\mathcal{A}' = (Q', \widetilde{\Sigma}, \Gamma', \delta', q_0, \perp, F')$.

....NOT COMPLETE....

Summary of Closure Properties

	Closed Under				
	\cup	\cap	Complement	Concat.	Kleene-*
Regular	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
CFL	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES
DCFL	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO
VPL	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

Outline

- 1 Visibly pushdown automata (VPA)
- 2 Closure properties
- 3 Visibly pushdown grammar (VPG)
- 4 Logical Characterisation
- 5 Decision Problems
- 6 Relation to Regular Tree Languages
- 7 Visibly pushdown ω -languages

Visibly pushdown grammar (VPG)

A CFG $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ is a VPG over $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ if N can be partitioned into N_0 and N_1 , and each rule in P is of the following forms,

- $X \rightarrow \epsilon$,
- $X \rightarrow aY$ such that if $X \in N_0$, then $a \in \Sigma_l$, $Y \in N_0$
- $X \rightarrow aYbZ$ such that $a \in \Sigma_c$, $b \in \Sigma_r$, $Y \in N_0$ and if $X \in N_0$, then $Z \in N_0$.

Example. Let $\widetilde{\Sigma} = (\{a\}, \{b\}, \Phi)$. Then the VPG

$S \rightarrow aSbC \mid aTbC$, $T \rightarrow \epsilon$, $C \rightarrow \epsilon$, such that $N_0 = \{S, T, C\}$ defines $\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 1\}$.

Equivalence of VPA and VPG

Theorem. $VPA \equiv VPG$.

From VPA to VPG.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, \perp, F)$ be a VPA.

The intuition: Utilising the nonterminals $[q, \gamma, p]$ with the meaning

the top symbol of the stack is γ , and from state q , by reading a well matched word, state p can be reached

Equivalence of VPA and VPG

Theorem. $VPA \equiv VPG$.

From VPA to VPG.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, \perp, F)$ be a VPA.

Construct a VPG $(N_0, N_1, \widetilde{\Sigma}, P, S)$ as follows.

- $N = \{(q, \perp) | q \in Q\} \cup \{q | q \in Q\} \cup \{[q, \gamma, p] | q, p \in Q, \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\perp\}\},$
 - (q, \perp) : the state is q and the stack is empty,
 - q : the state is q and the stack is nonempty.
- $N_0 = \{[q, \gamma, p] | q, p \in Q, \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\perp\}\}, S = (q_0, \perp),$
- P is defined by the following rules,
 - if $(q, a, q') \in \delta$ s.t. $a \in \Sigma_l$, then
 $(q, \perp) \rightarrow a(q', \perp), q \rightarrow aq', [q, \gamma, p] \rightarrow a[q', \gamma, p]$
 - if $(q, a, q', \gamma), (p', b, \gamma, p) \in \delta$ s.t. $a \in \Sigma_c, b \in \Sigma_r$, then
 $[q, \gamma_1, r] \rightarrow a[q', \gamma, p']b[p, \gamma_1, r], (q, \perp) \rightarrow a(q', \gamma, p')b(p, \perp),$
 $q \rightarrow a(q', \gamma, p')bp.$
 - if $(q, a, q', \gamma) \in \delta$ s.t. $a \in \Sigma_c$, then
 $(q, \perp) \rightarrow aq', q \rightarrow aq'(q, \perp) \rightarrow a[q', \gamma, p], q \rightarrow a[q', \gamma, p].$
 - if $(q, a, \perp, q') \in \delta$ s.t. $a \in \Sigma_r$, then $(q, \perp) \rightarrow a(q', \perp).$
 - $\forall q \in Q. [q, \gamma, q] \rightarrow \epsilon,$
 - $\forall q \in F. q \rightarrow \epsilon, (q, \perp) \rightarrow \epsilon,$

Equivalence of VPA and VPG : continued

From VPG to VPA.

Let $G = (N_0, N_1, \widetilde{\Sigma}, P, S)$ be a VPG.

Construct a VPA $\mathcal{A} = (N, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \Sigma_r \times N \cup \{\perp, \$\}, \delta, S, F)$ as follows.

- δ is defined by the following rules,
 - if $X \rightarrow aY$ s.t. $a \in \Sigma_l$, then $(X, a, Y) \in \delta$,
 - if $X \rightarrow aY$ s.t. $a \in \Sigma_c$, then $(X, a, Y, \$) \in \delta$,
 - if $X \rightarrow aY$ s.t. $a \in \Sigma_r$, then $(X, a, \$, Y) \in \delta$ and $(X, a, \perp, Y) \in \delta$,
 - if $X \rightarrow aYbZ$, then $(X, a, Y, (b, Z)) \in \delta$,
 - if $X \rightarrow \epsilon$ and $X \in N_0$, then $(X, b, (b, Y), Y) \in \delta$,
- \mathcal{A} accepts if the state is in X s.t. $X \rightarrow \epsilon$ and the top symbol is $\$$ or \perp .

Equivalence of VPA and VPG : continued

From VPG to VPA.

Let $G = (N_0, N_1, \tilde{\Sigma}, P, S)$ be a VPG.

Construct a VPA $\mathcal{A} = (N, \tilde{\Sigma}, \Sigma_r \times N \cup \{\perp, \$\}, \delta, S, F)$ as follows.

- δ is defined by the following rules,
 - if $X \rightarrow aY$ s.t. $a \in \Sigma_l$, then $(X, a, Y) \in \delta$,
 - if $X \rightarrow aY$ s.t. $a \in \Sigma_c$, then $(X, a, Y, \$) \in \delta$,
 - if $X \rightarrow aY$ s.t. $a \in \Sigma_r$, then $(X, a, \$, Y) \in \delta$ and $(X, a, \perp, Y) \in \delta$,
 - if $X \rightarrow aYbZ$, then $(X, a, Y, (b, Z)) \in \delta$,
 - if $X \rightarrow \epsilon$ and $X \in N_0$, then $(X, b, (b, Y), Y) \in \delta$,
- \mathcal{A} accepts if the state is in X s.t. $X \rightarrow \epsilon$ and the top symbol is $\$$ or \perp .

Adapt \mathcal{A} into VPA

$\mathcal{A} = (N \times \Gamma, \tilde{\Sigma}, \Gamma, \delta', (S, \perp), \{(X, \gamma) | X \rightarrow \epsilon, \gamma = \$, \perp\})$ by adding the top symbol of the stack into the states.

- if $X \rightarrow aY$ s.t. $a \in \Sigma_l$, then $\forall \gamma$. s.t. $((X, \gamma), a, (Y, \gamma)) \in \delta'$,
- if $X \rightarrow aY$ s.t. $a \in \Sigma_c$, then $\forall \gamma$. s. t. $((X, \gamma), a, (Y, \$), (\$, \gamma)) \in \delta'$,
- if $X \rightarrow aY$ s.t. $a \in \Sigma_r$, then $\forall \gamma$. s.t. $((X, \gamma), a, \perp, (Y, \perp)) \in \delta$ and $\forall \gamma$. s.t. $((X, \$), a, (\$, \gamma), (\gamma, \gamma)) \in \delta'$,
- if $X \rightarrow aYbZ$, then $\forall \gamma$. s.t. $((X, \gamma), a, (Y, (b, Z)), ((b, Z), \gamma)) \in \delta'$,
- if $X \rightarrow \epsilon$ and $X \in N_0$, then $\forall \gamma$. s.t. $((X, (b, Z)), b, ((b, Z), \gamma), (Z, \gamma)) \in \delta'$,

Outline

- 1 Visibly pushdown automata (VPA)
- 2 Closure properties
- 3 Visibly pushdown grammar (VPG)
- 4 Logical Characterisation
- 5 Decision Problems
- 6 Relation to Regular Tree Languages
- 7 Visibly pushdown ω -languages

Equivalence of VPA and MSO_μ

The monadic second order logic MSO_μ over $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ is defined as:

$$\phi := Q_a(x) \mid x \in X \mid x \leq y \mid \mu(x, y) \mid \phi \mid \phi \vee \phi \mid \exists x. \phi \mid \exists X. \phi$$

where

- $a \in \Sigma$
- x is a first order variable
- X is a set variable
- $Q_a(i)$ is true iff $w[i] = a$
- $\mu(i, j)$ is true if $w[i]$ is a call and $w[j]$ is its matching return.

Theorem A language L over $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ is a VPL iff there is an MSO_μ sentence ϕ over $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ that defines L

Decision Problems

	Decision problems for automata		
	Emptiness	Univ./Equiv.	Inclusion
Regular	NLOG	PSPACE	PSPACE
CFL	PTIME	Undecidable	Undecidable
DCFL	PTIME	Decidable	Undecidable
VPL	PTIME	EXPTIME	EXPTIME

Outline

- 1 Visibly pushdown automata (VPA)
- 2 Closure properties
- 3 Visibly pushdown grammar (VPG)
- 4 Logical Characterisation
- 5 Decision Problems
- 6 Relation to Regular Tree Languages
- 7 Visibly pushdown ω -languages

Relation to Regular Tree Languages

–NOT COMPLETE –

Outline

- 1 Visibly pushdown automata (VPA)
- 2 Closure properties
- 3 Visibly pushdown grammar (VPG)
- 4 Logical Characterisation
- 5 Decision Problems
- 6 Relation to Regular Tree Languages
- 7 Visibly pushdown ω -languages

Visibly pushdown ω -languages

–NOT COMPLETE–

Queries?

Queries?

Thanks!

Thanks!!!!