Algebraic Approach to Automata Theory

Deepak D'Souza

Department of Computer Science and Automation Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore.

20 September 2016

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Outline



- 2 Recognition via monoid morphisms
- 3 Transition monoid
- 4 Syntactic Monoid
- 5 First-Order Definable Languages

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Algebraic approach to automata: Overview

- Defines language recognition via morphisms into a monoid.
- Analogous result to canonical automaton in the setting of monoids.
- Helps in characterising class of FO-definable languages.

Monoids

- A monoid is a structure $(M, \circ, 1)$, where
 - *M* is a base set containing the element "1",
 - \circ is an associative binary operation on M, and
 - 1 is the identity element with respect to $\circ.$
- Examples of monoids: $(\mathbb{N}, +, 0)$, (A^*, \cdot, ϵ) .
- Another Example: $(X \rightarrow X, \circ, id)$, where
 - $X \to X$ denotes the set of all functions from a set X to itself,
 - $f \circ g$ is function composition:

$$(f \circ g)(x) = g(f(x)).$$

Monoid morphisms

 A morphism from a monoid (M, ∘_M, 1_M) to a monoid (N, ∘_N, 1_N) is a map φ : M → N, satisfying

•
$$\varphi(1_M) = 1_N$$
, and

•
$$\varphi(m \circ_M m') = \varphi(m) \circ_N \varphi(m').$$

• Example: $\varphi: A^* \to \mathbb{N}$, given by

$$\varphi(w) = |w|$$

is a morphism from (A^*, \cdot, ϵ) to $(\mathbb{N}, +, 0)$.

Language recognition via monoid morphisms

A language L ⊆ A* is said to be recognizable if there exists a monoid (M, ∘, 1) and a morphism φ from A* to (M, ∘, 1), and a subset X of M such that

$$L=\varphi^{-1}(X).$$

• In this case, we say that the monoid *M* recognizes *L*.

Example of language recognition via monoid

Consider monoid $M = (\{1, m\}, \circ, 1\}$ where \circ is given by:

0	1	m
1	1	m
m	m	m

Consider the morphism $\varphi : A^* \to M$ given by

$$\epsilon\mapsto 1 \ w\mapsto m \quad ext{ for } w\in A^+.$$

Then *M* recognizes A^+ (also $\{\epsilon\}$, A^* , and \emptyset), since $\varphi^{-1}(\{m\}) = A^+.$

Example of language recognition via monoid

Consider monoid $M = (\{1, m\}, \circ, 1\}$ where \circ is given by:

0	1	m
1	1	m
m	m	m

Consider the morphism $\varphi : A^* \to M$ given by

$$\epsilon\mapsto 1 \ w\mapsto m \quad ext{ for } w\in A^+.$$

Then *M* recognizes A^+ (also $\{\epsilon\}$, A^* , and \emptyset), since $\varphi^{-1}(\{m\}) = A^+.$

Question: Is every language recognizable?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ● ● ●

Transition Monoid of a DA

Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, s, \delta, F)$ be a deterministic automaton (DA).

• For $w \in A^*$, define $f_w : Q \to Q$ by

$$f_w(q) = \widehat{\delta}(q, w).$$

Consider the monoid

$$M(\mathcal{A}) = (\{f_w \mid w \in A^*\}, \circ, 1).$$

• $M(\mathcal{A})$ is called the transition monoid of \mathcal{A} .

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日

Example DA and Transition Monoid



Distinct elements of $M(\mathcal{A})$ are $\{f_{\epsilon}, f_{a}, f_{b}, f_{aa}, f_{ab}, f_{ba}\}$.

We write f_a as $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$, or simply (2 3 3). Question: If Q is finite, how many elements can M(A) have?

Syntactic Monoid of a language

- Let $\mathcal{A}_{\equiv i} = (Q, s, \delta, F)$ be the canonical automaton for a language $L \subseteq A^*$.
- The transition monoid of \mathcal{A}_{\equiv_i} is called the syntactic monoid of L.
- We denote the syntactic monoid of L by M(L).
- Define an equivalence relation \cong_{I} on A^{*} , induced by L, as

$$u \cong_L v$$
 iff $\forall x, y \in A^*$: $xuy \in L$ iff $xvy \in L$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Syntactic Congruence of a language

- \cong_L is called the syntactic congruence of *L*.
- Check that \cong_L is a two-sided congruence:
 - That is, \cong_L is both a left-congruence (i.e $u \cong_L v$ implies $wu \cong_L wv$, for each $w \in A^*$) and a right-congruence (i.e $u \cong_L v$ implies $uw \cong_L vw$).
 - Equivalently, $u \cong_L u'$ and $v \cong_L v'$ imples $uv \cong_L u'v'$.
- \cong_L refines the canonical MN relation, \equiv_L , for L.
- Example?

Syntactic Congruence of a language

- \cong_L is called the syntactic congruence of *L*.
- Check that \cong_L is a two-sided congruence:
 - That is, \cong_L is both a left-congruence (i.e $u \cong_L v$ implies $wu \cong_L wv$, for each $w \in A^*$) and a right-congruence (i.e $u \cong_L v$ implies $uw \cong_L vw$).
 - Equivalently, $u \cong_L u'$ and $v \cong_L v'$ imples $uv \cong_L u'v'$.
- \cong_L refines the canonical MN relation, \equiv_L , for L.
- Example? Consider the language $(a + b)^*bb$:



Syntactic Monoid First-Order Definable Languages

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Characterization of the syntactic monoid

Claim

For a canonical DA $\mathcal{A} = (Q, s, \delta, F)$,

$$f_u = f_v$$
 iff $u \cong_L v$.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Syntactic monoid via syntactic congruence

For a language $L \subseteq A^*$, consider the monoid A^* / \cong_L , whose elements are equivalence classes under \cong_L , operation \circ is given by

$$[u]\circ [v]=[uv],$$

and identity element is $[\epsilon]$.

Claim

The monoids M(L) and A^*/\cong_L are isomorphic.

(Use the morphism $f_w \mapsto [w]$.)

Algebraic definition of regular languages

Theorem

- Let $L \subseteq A^*$. Then the following are equivalent:
 - L is regular
 - **2** The syntactic monoid of L, i.e. M(L), is finite.
 - I is recognized by a finite monoid.

Proof:

Algebraic definition of regular languages

Theorem

- Let $L \subseteq A^*$. Then the following are equivalent:
 - L is regular
 - **2** The syntactic monoid of L, i.e. M(L), is finite.
 - I is recognized by a finite monoid.

Proof:

(1)
$$\implies$$
 (2): since \mathcal{A}_{\equiv_L} is finite, and hence so is $M(L)$.

Algebraic definition of regular languages

Theorem

- Let $L \subseteq A^*$. Then the following are equivalent:
 - L is regular
 - The syntactic monoid of L, i.e. M(L), is finite.
 - I is recognized by a finite monoid.

Proof:

(1) \implies (2): since \mathcal{A}_{\equiv_l} is finite, and hence so is M(L). (2) \implies (3): Define morphism $\varphi: A^* \to M(L)$, given by $w \mapsto f_w$.

Algebraic definition of regular languages

Theorem

- Let $L \subseteq A^*$. Then the following are equivalent:
 - L is regular
 - 2 The syntactic monoid of L, i.e. M(L), is finite.
 - **③** L is recognized by a finite monoid.

Proof:

(1) \implies (2): since \mathcal{A}_{\equiv_L} is finite, and hence so is M(L). (2) \implies (3): Define morphism $\varphi : A^* \to M(L)$, given by $w \mapsto f_w$. (3) \implies (1): Let *L* be recognized by a finite monoid $(M, \circ, 1)$, via a morphism φ and $X \subseteq M$. Define a DFA $\mathcal{A} = (M, 1, \delta, X)$, where

$$\delta(m,a) = m \circ \varphi(a).$$

Canonicity of syntactic monoid/congruence

Let M and N be monoids. We say N divides M if there is a submonoid M' of M, and a surjective morphism from M' to N.



Theorem Let $L \subseteq A^*$. Then L is recognized by a monoid M iff M(L) divides M.

First-Order definable languages

Theorem (Schutzenberger (1965), McNaughton-Papert (1971))

Let $L \subseteq A^*$. Then the following are equivalent

- L is definable in FO(<).
- 2 L is accepted by a counter-free DFA.
- **3** \mathcal{A}_{\equiv_L} is counter-free DFA.
- **1** L is definable by a star-free extended regular expression.
- **I** is recognized by an aperiodic finite monoid.
- M(L) is aperiodic.

Definitions: Aperiodic Monoids

• A finite monoid is called aperiodic, if it does not contain a non-trival group, or equivalently, for each element m in the monoid, $m^n = m^{n+1}$, for some n > 0.



Periodic

Aperiodic

• Examples:

0	1	m
1	1	m
m	m	1

A periodic monoid.

0	1	m
1	1	m
m	m	m

An aperiodic monoid. 🔪 🔍 🗠

Definitions: Counter in a DFA

• A counter in a DFA $\mathcal{A} = (Q, s, \delta, F)$ is a string $u \in A^*$ and distinct states q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_k in Q, with $k \ge 1$, such that for each i, $\widehat{\delta}(q_i, u) = q_{i+1}$, and $\widehat{\delta}(q_k, u) = q_0$.



• A DFA is counter-free if it does not have any counters.





Definitions: Star-Free Regular Expressions

• A star-free regular expression is an extended regular expression obtained using the syntax:

$$s ::= \emptyset \mid a \mid s + s \mid s \cdot s \mid s \cap s \mid \overline{s},$$

where $a \in A$, and \overline{s} denotes the language $A^* - L(s)$.

- A language L ⊆ A* is called star-free if there is a star-free regular expression defining it.
- For example the language A^{*} is star-free since the star-free expression ∅ denotes it.

э

Illustrative example: $L = (ab)^*$

• FO(<) sentence for *L*:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \forall x & (& zero(x) \implies Q_a(x) \land \\ & (Q_a(x) \implies \exists y(succ(x,y) \land Q_b(y))) \land \\ & (Q_b(x) \land \neg last(x) \implies \exists y(succ(x,y) \land Q_a(y)))) \\ &) \end{array}$$

• Counter-Free DFA for L:



• Star-Free ERE:

$$\{\epsilon\} \cup (aA^* \cap A^*b \cap \overline{A^*(aa+bb)A^*}).$$

Note that A^* is short-hand for $\overline{\emptyset}$ (what about $\{\epsilon\}$?).