# Undecidable problems about CFL's

Deepak D'Souza

Department of Computer Science and Automation Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore.

22 November 2019

# Outline

1 Some Decidable/Undecidable problems about CFL's

## Problem (a)

Is it decidable whether a given CFG accepts a non-empty language?

### Problem (a)

Is it decidable whether a given CFG accepts a non-empty language?

Yes, it is. We can find out which non-terminals of G can derive a terminal string: i.e. there exists a derivation  $X \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w$  for some terminal string w.

- Maintain a set of "marked" non-terminals. Initially  $N_{marked} = \emptyset$ .
- Mark all non-terminals X such that  $X \to w$  is a production in G.
- Repeat untill we are unable to mark any more non-terminals:
  - Mark X if there exists a production  $X \to \alpha$  such that  $\alpha \in (A \cup N_{marked})^*$ .
- Return "Non-emtpy" if  $S \in N_{marked}$ , else return "Empty."

## Problem (b)

Is it decidable whether a given CFG accepts a finite language?

## Problem (b)

Is it decidable whether a given CFG accepts a finite language?

Yes, it is.

- Convert G to CNF.
- Check if there is a parse tree within a height of 3n, where n is the number of non-terminals in G, that contains a pump. L(G) is infinite iff such a parse tree exists. (Essentially, since each basic pump is bounded by height 2n.)

## Problem (c)

Is it decidable whether a given CFG G is universal. That is, is  $L(G) = A^*$ ?

### Problem (c)

Is it decidable whether a given CFG G is universal. That is, is  $L(G) = A^*$ ?

No, it is undecidable (not even r.e.).

# Undecidability of universality of a CFL

• We can reduce ¬HP to the problem of universality of a CFG:

$$\neg HP \leq Universality of CFG.$$

 Given a TM M and input x, we can construct a CFG G<sub>M,x</sub> over an input alphabet Δ such that

M does not halt on x iff 
$$G_{M,x}$$
 is universal (i.e.  $L(G_{M,x}) = \Delta^*$ ).

• Hence the problem is non-r.e.

# Encoding computations of M on x

Let  $M = (Q, A, \Gamma, s, \delta, \vdash, \flat, t, r)$  be a given TM and let  $x = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$  be an input to it. We can represent a configuration of M as follows:

$$\vdash b_1 b_2 b_3 \cdots b_m$$

Thus a configuration is encoded over the alphabet  $\Gamma \times (Q \cup \{-\})$ .

# Encoding computations of M on x

A computation of M on x is a string of the form

$$c_0 \# c_1 \# \cdots \# c_N \#$$

such that

- Each  $c_i$  is the encoding of a configuration of M.
- ②  $c_0$  is (encoding of) the start configuration of M on x.

$$\vdash$$
  $a_1$   $a_2$   $a_3$   $\cdots$   $a_n$   $s$   $-$ 

- 3 All  $c_i$ 's are of same length, and maximal (in at least one config the head is at the last position).
- **4** Each  $c_i \stackrel{1}{\Rightarrow} c_{i+1}$ , and

# Describing $Valcomp_{M,x}$

The language  $Valcomp_{M,x}$  over the alphabet

$$\Delta = \Gamma \times (Q \cup \{-\}) \cup \{\#\}$$

can be described as the intersection of

- $L_1 \subseteq (C \cdot \#)^*$  where C is the set of valid encodings of configurations of M, beginning with initial config, and containing one config with a t or r state.
- $L_2$  which makes sure each  $c_i$  is of the same length.
- $L_3 = \{c_0 \# \cdots \# c_N \# \mid N \geq 1, c_i \stackrel{1}{\Rightarrow} c_{i+1}\}.$

Hence  $\neg Valcomp_{M,x} = \overline{L_1} \cup \overline{L_2} \cup \overline{L_3}$ .

#### Claim

 $\neg Valcomp_{M,x}$  is a CFL (in fact *regular*) and given M and x, we can construct a PDA/CFG  $G_{M,x}$  that accepts it.

# Proof of claim

#### Claim

Given M, x, we can construct a PDA/CFG  $G_{M,x}$  for  $\neg Valcomp_{M,x}$ .

- We know  $\neg Valcomp_{M,x} = \overline{L_1} \cup \overline{L_2} \cup \overline{L_3}$ .
- $L_1$  is regular, and  $\overline{L_2}$  is a CFL ( $L_2 = L_2^o \cap L_2^e$ , and each is DCFL).
- $\overline{L_3}$  is a CFL
  - Claim:  $c \stackrel{1}{\Rightarrow} d$  iff at every position i the 3 symbols c(i), c(i+1), c(i+2) in c and d(i), d(i+1), d(i+2) in d, are "valid" pairs of triples.
  - Example: if  $(s,\vdash),(p,\vdash,R)$  is a move of M then foll pair of triples is valid:

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{ccccc} \vdash & a_1 & a_2 & & \vdash & a_1 & a_2 \\ s & - & - & , & - & p & - \end{array} \right\rangle$$

So is

### Proof of claim

• Example: if  $(p, a) \rightarrow (q, b, R)$  is a move of M then foll is invalid:

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{ccccccc} a & b & c & & b & b & c \\ p & - & - & , & - & - & - \end{array} \right\rangle$$

So is

- Thus there is a finite table of valid triples that we can compute based on M.
- Now use a (non-det) PDA to guess a config  $c_k$  and a position i in it, and accept if the triple at  $c_k(i)$  and  $c_{k+1}(i)$  are not valid.
- So  $\overline{L_3}$  is a CFL.
- Construct a PDA/CFG  $G_{M,x}$  that accepts the union of  $\overline{L_1}$ ,  $\overline{L_2}$ , and  $\overline{L_3}$ .

### Problem (d)

Is it decidable whether the intersection of two given CFG's is non-empty?

### Problem (d)

Is it decidable whether the intersection of two given CFG's is non-empty?

No, it is undecidable. Given M and x, describe 2 PDA's that accept computations of the form:



Here each shaded configuration is in reversed form.

- PDA  $M_1$  checks that each even-numbered configuration is correctly followed by the next configuration.
- PDA  $M_2$  checks that each odd-numbered configuration is correctly followed by the next configuration.
- In fact, a DPDA can check correct consecution of consecutive even-odd (respectively odd-even) configurations.

# Other undecidable problems about CFL's

### Problem (e)

Is it decidable whether the intersection of two given CFL's is a CFL?

## Problem (f)

Is it decidable whether the complement of a given CFL is a CFL?

# Problem (g)

Is it decidable whether a given CFL is a DCFL?

# Other undecidable problems about CFL's

### Problem (e)

Is it decidable whether the intersection of two given CFL's is a CFL?

## Problem (f)

Is it decidable whether the complement of a given CFL is a CFL?

# Problem (g)

Is it decidable whether a given CFL is a DCFL?

All undecidable. Exercise!