

Regularity Preserving Relations

Ankit Kurani, Saurabh Awinashe

11th November 2021

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Introduction
- 3 Characterization as u.p. preserving relations
- 4 U.p. degenerating relations
- 5 Regularity Preserving Functions
- 6 Applications

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Introduction
- 3 Characterization as u.p. preserving relations
- 4 U.p. degenerating relations
- 5 Regularity Preserving Functions
- 6 Applications

Prefix removals of regular languages

- Languages that consist of prefixes of strings from another language can be constructed.

Prefix removals of regular languages

- Languages that consist of prefixes of strings from another language can be constructed.
- Examples :
 - 1 $\text{FIRST-HALF}(L) = \{x \mid \exists y(|y| = |x| \ \& \ xy \in L)\}$
 - 2 $\text{SQUARE-ROOT}(L) = \{x \mid \exists y(|xy| = |x|^2 \ \& \ xy \in L)\}$

Prefix removals of regular languages

- Languages that consist of prefixes of strings from another language can be constructed.
- Examples :
 - 1 $\text{FIRST-HALF}(L) = \{x \mid \exists y(|y| = |x| \ \& \ xy \in L)\}$
 - 2 $\text{SQUARE-ROOT}(L) = \{x \mid \exists y(|xy| = |x|^2 \ \& \ xy \in L)\}$
- We need a characterization which can tell us whether a given prefix removal of a regular language is regular.

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Introduction
- 3 Characterization as u.p. preserving relations
- 4 U.p. degenerating relations
- 5 Regularity Preserving Functions
- 6 Applications

Definition 1

For each binary relation r on N and each language L ,
 $P(r, L) = \{x \mid \exists y(r(|x|, |y|) \ \& \ xy \in L)\}$

Definition 1

For each binary relation r on N and each language L ,
 $P(r, L) = \{x \mid \exists y(r(|x|, |y|) \ \& \ xy \in L)\}$

Definition 2

A relation r is regularity preserving if,
 $P(r, L)$ is regular for every regular language L .

Definition 1

For each binary relation r on N and each language L ,
 $P(r, L) = \{x \mid \exists y(r(|x|, |y|) \ \& \ xy \in L)\}$

Definition 2

A relation r is regularity preserving if,
 $P(r, L)$ is regular for every regular language L .

- ① We can define regularity preserving functions by expressing a function $f : N \rightarrow N$ as a relation $\{(n, f(n)) \mid n \in N\}$

Definition 1

For each binary relation r on N and each language L ,
 $P(r, L) = \{x \mid \exists y(r(|x|, |y|) \ \& \ xy \in L)\}$

Definition 2

A relation r is regularity preserving if,
 $P(r, L)$ is regular for every regular language L .

- 1 We can define regularity preserving functions by expressing a function $f : N \rightarrow N$ as a relation $\{(n, f(n)) \mid n \in N\}$
- 2 Example, FIRST-HALF(L)

Definition 1

For each binary relation r on N and each language L ,
 $P(r, L) = \{x \mid \exists y(r(|x|, |y|) \ \& \ xy \in L)\}$

Definition 2

A relation r is regularity preserving if,
 $P(r, L)$ is regular for every regular language L .

- ① We can define regularity preserving functions by expressing a function $f : N \rightarrow N$ as a relation $\{(n, f(n)) \mid n \in N\}$
- ② Example, FIRST-HALF(L)
 - ① Use the function $f(n) = n$

Definition 1

For each binary relation r on N and each language L ,
 $P(r, L) = \{x \mid \exists y(r(|x|, |y|) \ \& \ xy \in L)\}$

Definition 2

A relation r is regularity preserving if,
 $P(r, L)$ is regular for every regular language L .

- ① We can define regularity preserving functions by expressing a function $f : N \rightarrow N$ as a relation $\{(n, f(n)) \mid n \in N\}$
- ② Example, FIRST-HALF(L)
 - ① Use the function $f(n) = n$
 - ② $P(f, L) = \{x \mid \exists y(|y| = f(|x|) \ \& \ xy \in L)\}$

Recall

A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is ultimately periodic(u.p.) if there exists $p \geq 1$ and $n_o \geq 0$ such that

$$n \in A \Leftrightarrow n + p \in A$$

Recall

A set $A \subseteq N$ is ultimately periodic(u.p.) if there exists $p \geq 1$ and $n_o \geq 0$ such that

$$n \in A \Leftrightarrow n + p \in A$$

Definition 3

A relation r is u.p. preserving if,

$r^{-1}(A) = \{i \mid (\exists j \in A) r(i, j)\}$ is u.p. for every u.p. set A .

Recall

A set $A \subseteq N$ is ultimately periodic(u.p.) if there exists $p \geq 1$ and $n_o \geq 0$ such that

$$n \in A \Leftrightarrow n + p \in A$$

Definition 3

A relation r is u.p. preserving if,
 $r^{-1}(A) = \{i \mid (\exists j \in A) r(i, j)\}$ is u.p. for every u.p. set A .

- 1 Consider the relation $r = \{(n, n) \mid n \in N\}$

Recall

A set $A \subseteq N$ is ultimately periodic(u.p.) if there exists $p \geq 1$ and $n_o \geq 0$ such that

$$n \in A \Leftrightarrow n + p \in A$$

Definition 3

A relation r is u.p. preserving if,
 $r^{-1}(A) = \{i \mid (\exists j \in A) r(i, j)\}$ is u.p. for every u.p. set A .

- 1 Consider the relation $r = \{(n, n) \mid n \in N\}$
- 2 Take any u.p set $A \subseteq N$.

Recall

A set $A \subseteq N$ is ultimately periodic(u.p.) if there exists $p \geq 1$ and $n_o \geq 0$ such that

$$n \in A \Leftrightarrow n + p \in A$$

Definition 3

A relation r is u.p. preserving if,
 $r^{-1}(A) = \{i \mid (\exists j \in A) r(i, j)\}$ is u.p. for every u.p. set A .

- 1 Consider the relation $r = \{(n, n) \mid n \in N\}$
- 2 Take any u.p set $A \subseteq N$.
- 3 $r^{-1}(A) = A$

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Introduction
- 3 Characterization as u.p. preserving relations**
- 4 U.p. degenerating relations
- 5 Regularity Preserving Functions
- 6 Applications

Characterization as u.p. preserving relations

Lemma 1

If L is regular, then $\{|x| \mid x \in L\}$ is u.p.

Characterization as u.p. preserving relations

Lemma 1

If L is regular, then $\{|x| \mid x \in L\}$ is u.p.

Lemma 2

If A is u.p., then $\{x \in \Sigma^* \mid |x| \in A\}$ is regular for every finite Σ

Characterization as u.p. preserving relations

Theorem 1

A relation is regularity preserving iff it is u.p. preserving

Characterization as u.p. preserving relations

Theorem 1

A relation is regularity preserving iff it is u.p. preserving

Proof (\rightarrow)

Suppose r is regularity preserving. Let A be any u.p.

Characterization as u.p. preserving relations

Theorem 1

A relation is regularity preserving iff it is u.p. preserving

Proof (\rightarrow)

Suppose r is regularity preserving. Let A be any u.p.

- 1 $L = \{1^n \mid n \in A\}$ is regular.

Characterization as u.p. preserving relations

Theorem 1

A relation is regularity preserving iff it is u.p. preserving

Proof (\rightarrow)

Suppose r is regularity preserving. Let A be any u.p.

- 1 $L = \{1^n \mid n \in A\}$ is regular.
- 2 $M = 0^*1 \cap P(r, 0^*1L)$ is regular.
- 3 $M = \{x \mid \exists y(r(|x|, |y|) \ \& \ x \in 0^*1 \ \& \ y \in L)\}$
- 4 Since M is regular, $\{|x| \mid x \in M\}$ is u.p.

Characterization as u.p. preserving relations

Theorem 1

A relation is regularity preserving iff it is u.p. preserving

Proof (\rightarrow)

Suppose r is regularity preserving. Let A be any u.p.

- ① $L = \{1^n \mid n \in A\}$ is regular.
- ② $M = 0^*1 \cap P(r, 0^*1L)$ is regular.
- ③ $M = \{x \mid \exists y(r(|x|, |y|) \ \& \ x \in 0^*1 \ \& \ y \in L)\}$
- ④ Since M is regular, $\{|x| \mid x \in M\}$ is u.p.
- ⑤ $r^{-1}(A) = \{|x| \mid x \in M\} \cup \{0\}$ is u.p.

Characterization as u.p. preserving relations

Theorem 1

A relation is regularity preserving iff it is u.p. preserving

Proof (\leftarrow)

Assume r is u.p. preserving. Suppose L is regular. From the MN relation for L , we get finitely many regular sets L_1, \dots, L_k . Define R_i such that $\{y \mid xy \in L \ \& \ x \in L_i\}$

- ① $\Sigma^* = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots \cup L_k$
- ② $L = L \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots \cup L_k)$

Characterization as u.p. preserving relations

Theorem 1

A relation is regularity preserving iff it is u.p. preserving

Proof (\leftarrow)

Assume r is u.p. preserving. Suppose L is regular. From the MN relation for L , we get finitely many regular sets L_1, \dots, L_k . Define R_i such that $\{y \mid xy \in L \ \& \ x \in L_i\}$

- ① $\Sigma^* = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots \cup L_k$
- ② $L = L \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots \cup L_k)$
- ③ $P(r, L) = (P(r, L) \cap L_1) \cup (P(r, L) \cap L_2) \cup \dots \cup (P(r, L) \cap L_k)$

Characterization as u.p. preserving relations

Theorem 1

A relation is regularity preserving iff it is u.p. preserving

Proof (\leftarrow)

Assume r is u.p. preserving. Suppose L is regular. From the MN relation for L , we get finitely many regular sets L_1, \dots, L_k . Define R_i such that $\{y \mid xy \in L \ \& \ x \in L_i\}$

- ① $\Sigma^* = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots \cup L_k$
- ② $L = L \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots \cup L_k)$
- ③ $P(r, L) = (P(r, L) \cap L_1) \cup (P(r, L) \cap L_2) \cup \dots \cup (P(r, L) \cap L_k)$
- ④ $P(r, L) \cap L_i = \{x \mid \exists y(r(|x|, |y|) \ \& \ xy \in L) \cap L_i$
- ⑤ $P(r, L) \cap L_i = \{x \mid |x| \in r^{-1}(\{|y| \mid y \in R_i\}) \cap L_i$

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Introduction
- 3 Characterization as u.p. preserving relations
- 4 U.p. degenerating relations**
- 5 Regularity Preserving Functions
- 6 Applications

Definition

If a binary relation r is U.P. degenerating then, $r^{-1}(A)$ is **finite** if A is **finite** and $r^{-1}(A)$ is **cofinite** if A is **infinite**

Note :- A is a Up set in above definition

Examples of degenerating relations

Consider relation $r = \{(n, n) | n \in N\}$

Examples of degenerating relations

Consider relation $r = \{(n, n) | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

pairs which will be in relations $r = \{ (1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (4,4), (5,5), \dots \}$

Examples of degenerating relations

Consider relation $r = \{(n, n) | n \in N\}$

pairs which will be in relations $r = \{ (1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (4,4), (5,5),\}$

A is a range of relation r , i.e all possible outputs of relation r

Examples of degenerating relations

Consider relation $r = \{(n, n) | n \in N\}$

pairs which will be in relations $r = \{ (1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (4,4), (5,5), \dots \}$

A is a range of relation r , i.e all possible outputs of relation r

$r^{-1}(A)$ is the domain of the relation r , i.e all possible inputs of relation r

Examples of degenerating relations

Consider relation $r = \{(n, n) | n \in N\}$

pairs which will be in relations $r = \{ (1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (4,4), (5,5), \dots \}$

A is a range of relation r , i.e all possible outputs of relation r

$r^{-1}(A)$ is the domain of the relation r , i.e all possible inputs of relation r

Is set A a up set? If it is a U.P set then is the relation r U.P. degenerating relation?

U.p. degenerating relations

Examples of degenerating relations

Consider relation $r = \{(n, n) | n \in N\}$

pairs which will be in relations $r = \{ (1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (4,4), (5,5), \dots \}$

Set A is a UP set

For each i , it can be seen that $r(i, j)$ holds for every j such that $i = j$

It can be seen that set A is infinite set and also it can be seen that $r^{-1}(A)$ is also cofinite.

It is also easy to argue that if set A would have been finite then by the condition on i and j , $r^{-1}(A)$ would also be finite

Examples of degenerating relations

Consider relation $r = \{(\lfloor n^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor, n - \lfloor n^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor) | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

pairs which will be in relations $r = \{ \dots (3,12), (4,12), (4,13), (4,14), (4,15) \dots (4,20), (5,20), (5,21) \dots (5,30), (6,30) \dots \}$

A is a range of relation r , i.e all possible outputs of relation r

$r^{-1}(A)$ is the domain of the relation r , i.e all possible inputs of relation r

Is set A a up set? Is relation r UP degenerating relation?

U.p. degenerating relations

Examples of degenerating relations

Consider relation $r = \{(\lfloor n^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor, n - \lfloor n^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor) | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

pairs which will be in relations $r = \{ \dots (3,12), (4,12), (4,13), (4,14), (4,15) \dots (4,20), (5,20), (5,21) \dots (5,30), (6,30) \dots \}$

Set A is a UP set

For each i , it can be seen that $r(i, j)$ holds for every j with $i^2 - i \leq j \leq i^2 + i$

Lets take $i = 4$, range for j will be $12 \leq j \leq 20$

It can be seen that set A is infinite set and also it can be seen that $r^{-1}(A)$ is also cofinite.

It is also easy to argue that if set A would have been finite then by the condition on i and j , $r^{-1}(A)$ would also be finite

Theorem 2

If r_1 and r_2 are U.P. degenerating relations, then $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L)$ is finite for every regular Language L .

Theorem 2

If r_1 and r_2 are U.P. degenerating relations, then $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L)$ is finite for every regular Language L .

Proof

Let L be any regular language over Σ

In proving Theorem 1, we found that regular sets L_1, L_2, \dots, L_k and R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k over Σ such that, for any relation r on N

$P(r, L) = (P(r, L) \cap L_1) \cup (P(r, L) \cap L_2) \cup \dots \cup (P(r, L) \cap L_k) \dots$ (defined earlier)

$$P(r, L) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k (\{x \in \Sigma^* \mid |x| \in r^{-1}(\{|y|, y \in R_i\})\} \cap L_i)$$

U.p. degenerating relations

Theorem 2

If r_1 and r_2 are U.P. degenerating relations, then $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L)$ is finite for every regular Language L .

Proof

- 1 For r_1 and r_2 u.p degenerating relations, we define
- 2 $L_{i,j} = \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid |x| \in r_j^{-1}(|y|, y \in R_i)\} \dots (1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 2)$

U.p. degenerating relations

Theorem 2

If r_1 and r_2 are U.P. degenerating relations, then $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L)$ is finite for every regular Language L .

Proof

- 1 For r_1 and r_2 u.p degenerating relations, we define
- 2 $L_{i,j} = \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid |x| \in r_j^{-1}(|y|, y \in R_i)\} \dots (1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 2)$
- 3 $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L) = \cup_{i=1}^k (L_{i,1} \cap L_i) - \cup_{i=1}^k (L_{i,2} \cap L_i)$

U.p. degenerating relations

Theorem 2

If r_1 and r_2 are U.P. degenerating relations, then $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L)$ is finite for every regular Language L .

Proof

- 1 For r_1 and r_2 u.p degenerating relations, we define
- 2 $L_{i,j} = \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid |x| \in r_j^{-1}(|y|, y \in R_i)\} \dots (1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 2)$
- 3 $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L) = \cup_{i=1}^k (L_{i,1} \cap L_i) - \cup_{i=1}^k (L_{i,2} \cap L_i)$
- 4 $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L) = \cup_{i=1}^k ((L_{i,1} - L_{i,2}) \cap L_i)$

U.p. degenerating relations

Theorem 2

If r_1 and r_2 are U.P. degenerating relations, then $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L)$ is finite for every regular Language L .

Proof

- ① For r_1 and r_2 u.p degenerating relations, we define
- ② $L_{i,j} = \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid |x| \in r_j^{-1}(|y|, y \in R_i)\} \dots (1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 2)$
- ③ $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L) = \cup_{i=1}^k (L_{i,1} \cap L_i) - \cup_{i=1}^k (L_{i,2} \cap L_i)$
- ④ $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L) = \cup_{i=1}^k ((L_{i,1} - L_{i,2}) \cap L_i)$
- ⑤ $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L) = \cup_{i=1}^k ((L_{i,1} \cap (L_{i,2})^c) \cap L_i)$

U.p. degenerating relations

Theorem 2

If r_1 and r_2 are U.P. degenerating relations, then $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L)$ is finite for every regular Language L .

Proof

- ① For r_1 and r_2 u.p degenerating relations, we define
- ② $L_{i,j} = \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid |x| \in r_j^{-1}(|y|, y \in R_i)\} \dots (1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 2)$
- ③ $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L) = \cup_{i=1}^k (L_{i,1} \cap L_i) - \cup_{i=1}^k (L_{i,2} \cap L_i)$
- ④ $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L) = \cup_{i=1}^k ((L_{i,1} - L_{i,2}) \cap L_i)$
- ⑤ $P(r_1, L) - P(r_2, L) = \cup_{i=1}^k ((L_{i,1} \cap (L_{i,2})^c) \cap L_i)$
- ⑥ Now if R_i is finite, then $L_{i,1}$ will be finite and $(L_{i,2})^c$ will be co-finite and intersection of these two will be finite. Similarly we can observe the case when R_i will be infinite.

Examples

Examples of degenerating relations are

$$r1 = \{(\lfloor n^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor, n - \lfloor n^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor) \mid n \in N\}$$

$$r2 = \{(\lfloor n^{\frac{1}{3}} \rfloor, n - \lfloor n^{\frac{1}{3}} \rfloor) \mid n \in N\}$$

$$r3 = \{(\lfloor \log_2(n) \rfloor, n - \lfloor \log_2(n) \rfloor) \mid n \in N\}$$

If we take any of the the above two relations, lets say r_1 and r_3 and a regular language L then $P(r1, L) - P(r3, L)$ will be finite.

For sufficiently long string x ,

$$x \in P(r1, L) \iff x \in P(r3, L) \iff x \in P(r2, L)$$

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Introduction
- 3 Characterization as u.p. preserving relations
- 4 U.p. degenerating relations
- 5 Regularity Preserving Functions**
- 6 Applications

Definition 1

A function $f : N \rightarrow N$ is u.p. reducible if, for every modulus m , there exists a period p such that $f(n) \equiv f(n + p) \pmod{m}$ for all but finitely many $n \in N$.

Definition 1

A function $f : N \rightarrow N$ is u.p. reducible if, for every modulus m , there exists a period p such that $f(n) \equiv f(n + p) \pmod{m}$ for all but finitely many $n \in N$.

- 1 $f(n) = n^2$ is u.p. reducible.

Definition 1

A function $f : N \rightarrow N$ is u.p. reducible if, for every modulus m , there exists a period p such that $f(n) \equiv f(n + p) \pmod{m}$ for all but finitely many $n \in N$.

- ① $f(n) = n^2$ is u.p. reducible.
 - ① For any m , $\exists p(n^2 \equiv (n + p)^2 \pmod{m})$

Definition 1

A function $f : N \rightarrow N$ is u.p. reducible if, for every modulus m , there exists a period p such that $f(n) \equiv f(n+p) \pmod{m}$ for all but finitely many $n \in N$.

- ① $f(n) = n^2$ is u.p. reducible.
 - ① For any m , $\exists p(n^2 \equiv (n+p)^2 \pmod{m})$
 - ② $(n+p)^2 \pmod{m} = (n^2 \pmod{m} + p(2n+p) \pmod{m}) \pmod{m}$

Definition 1

A function $f : N \rightarrow N$ is u.p. reducible if, for every modulus m , there exists a period p such that $f(n) \equiv f(n + p) \pmod{m}$ for all but finitely many $n \in N$.

- ① $f(n) = n^2$ is u.p. reducible.
 - ① For any m , $\exists p(n^2 \equiv (n + p)^2 \pmod{m})$
 - ② $(n + p)^2 \pmod{m} = (n^2 \pmod{m} + p(2n + p) \pmod{m}) \pmod{m}$
 - ③ If we take $p = m$ then, $n^2 \equiv n^2 \pmod{m}$ which holds for all n .

Definition 1

A function $f : N \rightarrow N$ is u.p. reducible if, for every modulus m , there exists a period p such that $f(n) \equiv f(n + p) \pmod{m}$ for all but finitely many $n \in N$.

- ① $f(n) = n^2$ is u.p. reducible.
 - ① For any m , $\exists p(n^2 \equiv (n + p)^2 \pmod{m})$
 - ② $(n + p)^2 \pmod{m} = (n^2 \pmod{m} + p(2n + p) \pmod{m}) \pmod{m}$
 - ③ If we take $p = m$ then, $n^2 \equiv n^2 \pmod{m}$ which holds for all n .

②

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ n! & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Definition 1

A function $f : N \rightarrow N$ is u.p. reducible if, for every modulus m , there exists a period p such that $f(n) \equiv f(n + p) \pmod{m}$ for all but finitely many $n \in N$.

- ① $f(n) = n^2$ is u.p. reducible.
 - ① For any m , $\exists p(n^2 \equiv (n + p)^2 \pmod{m})$
 - ② $(n + p)^2 \pmod{m} = (n^2 \pmod{m} + p(2n + p) \pmod{m}) \pmod{m}$
 - ③ If we take $p = m$ then, $n^2 \equiv n^2 \pmod{m}$ which holds for all n .

②

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ n! & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

- ① u.p. reducible $\forall n \geq m$

Definition 2

A function $f : N \rightarrow N$ is essentially increasing if, for every k , $f(n) \geq k$ for all but finitely many $n \in N$.

Definition 2

A function $f : N \rightarrow N$ is essentially increasing if, for every k , $f(n) \geq k$ for all but finitely many $n \in N$.

① $f(n) = n$

Definition 2

A function $f : N \rightarrow N$ is essentially increasing if, for every k , $f(n) \geq k$ for all but finitely many $n \in N$.

① $f(n) = n$

① For any and every k , $f(n) \geq k$ for $n \geq k$

Definition 2

A function $f : N \rightarrow N$ is essentially increasing if, for every k , $f(n) \geq k$ for all but finitely many $n \in N$.

① $f(n) = n$

① For any and every k , $f(n) \geq k$ for $n \geq k$

②

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ n! & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2

A function $f : N \rightarrow N$ is essentially increasing if, for every k , $f(n) \geq k$ for all but finitely many $n \in N$.

① $f(n) = n$

① For any and every k , $f(n) \geq k$ for $n \geq k$

②

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ n! & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

① Not essentially increasing

Definition 1

$F = \{f \mid f \text{ is essentially increasing and u.p. reducible}\}$

Definition 1

$F = \{f \mid f \text{ is essentially increasing and u.p. reducible}\}$

Definition 2

$G = \{f \mid f \text{ is u.p. preserving}\}$

Definition 1

$F = \{f \mid f \text{ is essentially increasing and u.p. reducible}\}$

Definition 2

$G = \{f \mid f \text{ is u.p. preserving}\}$

Definition 3

$H = \{f \mid f \text{ is u.p. reducible}\}$

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 3

$$F \subset G$$

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 3

$$F \subset G$$

Proof (\subseteq)

Assume $f \in F$. Suppose A with n_o, m is u.p.

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 3

$$F \subset G$$

Proof (\subseteq)

Assume $f \in F$. Suppose A with n_o, m is u.p.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \forall n \geq n_o, n \in A \Leftrightarrow n + m \in A$$

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 3

$$F \subset G$$

Proof (\subseteq)

Assume $f \in F$. Suppose A with n_o, m is u.p.

- ① $\forall n \geq n_o, n \in A \Leftrightarrow n + m \in A$
- ② $\forall n_1, n_2 \geq n_o, (n_1 \equiv n_2 \pmod{m}) \Rightarrow (n_1 \in A \Leftrightarrow n_2 \in A)$

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 3

$$F \subset G$$

Proof (\subseteq)

Assume $f \in F$. Suppose A with n_o, m is u.p.

- ① $\forall n \geq n_o, n \in A \Leftrightarrow n + m \in A$
- ② $\forall n_1, n_2 \geq n_o, (n_1 \equiv n_2 \pmod{m}) \Rightarrow (n_1 \in A \Leftrightarrow n_2 \in A)$
- ③ Since $f \in F$, f is u.p. reducible for $n \geq n'_o$.

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 3

$$F \subset G$$

Proof (\subseteq)

Assume $f \in F$. Suppose A with n_o, m is u.p.

- ① $\forall n \geq n_o, n \in A \Leftrightarrow n + m \in A$
- ② $\forall n_1, n_2 \geq n_o, (n_1 \equiv n_2 \pmod{m}) \Rightarrow (n_1 \in A \Leftrightarrow n_2 \in A)$
- ③ Since $f \in F$, f is u.p. reducible for $n \geq n'_o$.
 - ① $n \geq n'_o \Rightarrow f(n), f(n+p) \geq n'_o$ & $f(n) \equiv f(n+p) \pmod{m}$

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 3

$$F \subset G$$

Proof (\subseteq)

Assume $f \in F$. Suppose A with n_o, m is u.p.

- ① $\forall n \geq n_o, n \in A \Leftrightarrow n + m \in A$
- ② $\forall n_1, n_2 \geq n_o, (n_1 \equiv n_2 \pmod{m}) \Rightarrow (n_1 \in A \Leftrightarrow n_2 \in A)$
- ③ Since $f \in F$, f is u.p. reducible for $n \geq n'_o$.
 - ① $n \geq n'_o \Rightarrow f(n), f(n+p) \geq n'_o$ & $f(n) \equiv f(n+p) \pmod{m}$
 - ② $\Rightarrow (f(n) \in A \Leftrightarrow f(n+p) \in A) \Rightarrow (n \in f^{-1}(A) \Leftrightarrow n+p \in f^{-1}(A))$

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 3

$$F \subset G$$

Proof (\subseteq)

Assume $f \in F$. Suppose A with n_o, m is u.p.

- ① $\forall n \geq n_o, n \in A \Leftrightarrow n + m \in A$
- ② $\forall n_1, n_2 \geq n_o, (n_1 \equiv n_2 \pmod m) \Rightarrow (n_1 \in A \Leftrightarrow n_2 \in A)$
- ③ Since $f \in F$, f is u.p. reducible for $n \geq n'_o$.
 - ① $n \geq n'_o \Rightarrow f(n), f(n+p) \geq n'_o$ & $f(n) \equiv f(n+p) \pmod m$
 - ② $\Rightarrow (f(n) \in A \Leftrightarrow f(n+p) \in A) \Rightarrow (n \in f^{-1}(A) \Leftrightarrow n+p \in f^{-1}(A))$
 - ③ $f^{-1}(A)$ is u.p.

Proof (\neq)

Recall a $f \notin F$. However, $f^{-1}(A)$ is u.p. so $f \in G$

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 3

$$F \subset G$$

- 1 $f(n) = n$. $f \in F \Rightarrow f \in G$. Therefore, f is u.p. preserving. Using Theorem 1, f is also regularity preserving.

Theorem 3

$$F \subset G$$

- ① $f(n) = n$. $f \in F \Rightarrow f \in G$. Therefore, f is u.p. preserving. Using Theorem 1, f is also regularity preserving.
 - ① $P(f, L)$ is regular for any regular language L .

Theorem 3

$$F \subset G$$

- ① $f(n) = n$. $f \in F \Rightarrow f \in G$. Therefore, f is u.p. preserving. Using Theorem 1, f is also regularity preserving.
 - ① $P(f, L)$ is regular for any regular language L .
 - ② $P(f, L)$ is FIRST-HALF(L). Therefore, FIRST-HALF(L) for a regular language L is regular.

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 3

$$F \subset G$$

- ① $f(n) = n$. $f \in F \Rightarrow f \in G$. Therefore, f is u.p. preserving. Using Theorem 1, f is also regularity preserving.
 - ① $P(f, L)$ is regular for any regular language L .
 - ② $P(f, L)$ is FIRST-HALF(L). Therefore, FIRST-HALF(L) for a regular language L is regular.
- ② $f(n) = n^2 - n$?

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 4

$$G \subset H$$

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 4

$$G \subset H$$

Proof (\subseteq)

Assume $f \in G$. Let m be any positive integer.

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 4

$$G \subset H$$

Proof (\subseteq)

Assume $f \in G$. Let m be any positive integer.

- 1 $f^{-1}(j \mid j \equiv n \bmod m)$ is u.p. for $0 \leq n \leq m - 1$

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 4

$$G \subset H$$

Proof (\subseteq)

Assume $f \in G$. Let m be any positive integer.

- 1 $f^{-1}(j \mid j \equiv n \bmod m)$ is u.p. for $0 \leq n \leq m - 1$
- 2 We have m u.p. sets. If p is a common period for these sets, $f(n) \equiv f(n + p) \bmod m$ for all but finitely many n .

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 4

$$G \subset H$$

Proof (\neq)

① Let A be a set which is not u.p. Let

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \in A \\ n! & \text{if } n \notin A \end{cases}$$

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 4

$$G \subset H$$

Proof (\neq)

- ① Let A be a set which is not u.p. Let

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \in A \\ n! & \text{if } n \notin A \end{cases}$$

- ② $\forall m$ and $\forall n \geq m$, $f(n) \equiv f(n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.

Class of Regularity Preserving functions

Theorem 4

$$G \subset H$$

Proof (\neq)

- ① Let A be a set which is not u.p. Let

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \in A \\ n! & \text{if } n \notin A \end{cases}$$

- ② $\forall m$ and $\forall n \geq m$, $f(n) \equiv f(n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.
- ③ $f \in H$. $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = A$. So, $f \notin G$

Class of Regularity Functions

Theorem 5

$H = \{f \mid P(f, L) \text{ is regular for every regular } L \subseteq \{1\}^*\}$

Proof \supseteq

Let f be a function. Define an essentially increasing function

$$g(n) = n + f(n)$$

- Let us assume $P(f, L)$ is regular for every regular $L \subseteq \{1\}^*$. Suppose A is U.P.

Class of Regularity Functions

Theorem 5

$H = \{f \mid P(f, L) \text{ is regular for every regular } L \subseteq \{1\}^*\}$

Proof \supseteq

Let f be a function. Define an essentially increasing function

$$g(n) = n + f(n)$$

- Let us assume $P(f, L)$ is regular for every regular $L \subseteq \{1\}^*$. Suppose A is U.P.
- $g^{-1}(A) = \{i \mid g(i) \in A\}$

Class of Regularity Functions

Theorem 5

$H = \{f \mid P(f, L) \text{ is regular for every regular } L \subseteq \{1\}^*\}$

Proof \supseteq

Let f be a function. Define an essentially increasing function

$$g(n) = n + f(n)$$

- Let us assume $P(f, L)$ is regular for every regular $L \subseteq \{1\}^*$. Suppose A is U.P.
- $g^{-1}(A) = \{i \mid g(i) \in A\}$
- $g^{-1}(A) = \{i \mid i + f(i) \in A\}$

Class of Regularity Functions

Theorem 5

$H = \{f \mid P(f, L) \text{ is regular for every regular } L \subseteq \{1\}^*\}$

Proof \supseteq

Let f be a function. Define an essentially increasing function

$$g(n) = n + f(n)$$

- Let us assume $P(f, L)$ is regular for every regular $L \subseteq \{1\}^*$. Suppose A is U.P.
- $g^{-1}(A) = \{i \mid g(i) \in A\}$
- $g^{-1}(A) = \{i \mid i + f(i) \in A\}$
- $g^{-1}(A) = \{|x| \mid x \in P(f, \{z \in \{1\}^* \mid |z| \in A\})\}$.. (by definition explained for $P(f, L)$)

Class of Regularity Functions

Theorem 5

$H = \{f \mid P(f, L) \text{ is regular for every regular } L \subseteq \{1\}^*\}$

Proof \supseteq

Let f be a function. Define an essentially increasing function

$$g(n) = n + f(n)$$

- Let us assume $P(f, L)$ is regular for every regular $L \subseteq \{1\}^*$. Suppose A is U.P.
- $g^{-1}(A) = \{i \mid g(i) \in A\}$
- $g^{-1}(A) = \{i \mid i + f(i) \in A\}$
- $g^{-1}(A) = \{|x| \mid x \in P(f, \{z \in \{1\}^* \mid |z| \in A\})\}$.. (by definition explained for $P(f, L)$)
- Now we can observe that A and $g^{-1}(A)$ both are u.p sets, therefore g is u.p preserving and thus $g \in G \subset H$ from theorem 4

Class of Regularity Functions

Theorem 5

$H = \{f \mid P(f, L) \text{ is regular for every regular } L \subseteq \{1\}^*\}$

Proof \supseteq

Let f be a function. Define an essentially increasing function

$$g(n) = n + f(n)$$

- Let us assume $P(f, L)$ is regular for every regular $L \subseteq \{1\}^*$. Suppose A is U.P.
- $g^{-1}(A) = \{i \mid g(i) \in A\}$
- $g^{-1}(A) = \{i \mid i + f(i) \in A\}$
- $g^{-1}(A) = \{|x| \mid x \in P(f, \{z \in \{1\}^* \mid |z| \in A\})\}$.. (by definition explained for $P(f, L)$)
- Now we can observe that A and $g^{-1}(A)$ both are u.p sets, therefore g is u.p preserving and thus $g \in G \subset H$ from theorem 4
- Now, $f(n) = g(n) - n$, therefore $f \in H$

Class of Regularity Functions

Theorem 5

$H = \{f \mid P(f, L) \text{ is regular for every regular } L \subseteq \{1\}^*\}$

Proof \subseteq

Assume f is u.p reducible and g be an essentially increasing function

$$g(n) = n + f(n)$$

- Now $g \in F$ as it is always increasing and u.p reducible.

Class of Regularity Functions

Theorem 5

$H = \{f \mid P(f, L) \text{ is regular for every regular } L \subseteq \{1\}^*\}$

Proof \subseteq

Assume f is u.p. reducible and g be an essentially increasing function

$$g(n) = n + f(n)$$

- Now $g \in F$ as it is always increasing and u.p. reducible.
- g is also u.p. preserving by Theorem 3
Suppose $L \subseteq \{1\}^*$ is regular.

Class of Regularity Functions

Theorem 5

$H = \{f \mid P(f, L) \text{ is regular for every regular } L \subseteq \{1\}^*\}$

Proof \subseteq

Assume f is u.p reducible and g be an essentially increasing function

$$g(n) = n + f(n)$$

- Now $g \in F$ as it is always increasing and u.p reducible.
- g is also u.p. preserving by Theorem 3
Suppose $L \subseteq \{1\}^*$ is regular.
- $P(f, L) = \{x \mid \exists y(f(|x|) = |y| \text{ \& } xy \in L)\}$

Class of Regularity Functions

Theorem 5

$H = \{f \mid P(f, L) \text{ is regular for every regular } L \subseteq \{1\}^*\}$

Proof \subseteq

Assume f is u.p reducible and g be an essentially increasing function
 $g(n) = n + f(n)$

- Now $g \in F$ as it is always increasing and u.p reducible.
- g is also u.p. preserving by Theorem 3
Suppose $L \subseteq \{1\}^*$ is regular.
- $P(f, L) = \{x \mid \exists y(f(|x|) = |y| \ \& \ xy \in L)\}$
- $P(f, L) = \{x \mid \exists y(g(|x|) = |xy| \ \& \ xy \in L)\} \dots (g(n) = n + f(n))$

Class of Regularity Functions

Theorem 5

$H = \{f \mid P(f, L) \text{ is regular for every regular } L \subseteq \{1\}^*\}$

Proof \subseteq

Assume f is u.p reducible and g be an essentially increasing function

$$g(n) = n + f(n)$$

- Now $g \in F$ as it is always increasing and u.p reducible.
- g is also u.p. preserving by Theorem 3
Suppose $L \subseteq \{1\}^*$ is regular.
- $P(f, L) = \{x \mid \exists y(f(|x|) = |y| \ \& \ xy \in L)\}$
- $P(f, L) = \{x \mid \exists y(g(|x|) = |xy| \ \& \ xy \in L)\} \dots (g(n) = n + f(n))$
- $P(f, L) = \{x \in \{1\}^* \mid |x| = g^{-1}(\{|z| \mid z \in L\})\}$

Now $P(f, L)$ will be regular by Lemma 2

Class of Regularity Functions

Theorem 6

$$G = \{f \in H \mid f^{-1}(\{j\}) \text{ is u.p. for every } j\}$$

Proof (\subseteq)

Assume $f \in G$. By Theorem 4, $f \in H$.

Since $\{j\}$ is certainly u.p. for each j , $f^{-1}(\{j\})$ is u.p. (By definition of u.p. preserving functions)

Class of Regularity Functions

Proof (\supseteq)

Assume $f \in H$ and $f^{-1}(\{j\})$ is u.p for every j . Suppose A is u.p with n_o, p such that

$$(\forall n \geq n_o)(n \in A \Leftrightarrow n + p \in A)$$

$$\{j \in A \mid j \geq n_o\} = (\bigcup_{n \in A, n_o \leq n < n_o + p} \{j \mid j \equiv (n \bmod p)\}) - \{j \mid j < n_o\}$$

$$f^{-1}(A) = f^{-1}(\{j \in A \mid j < n_o\}) \cup f^{-1}(\{j \in A \mid j \geq n_o\})$$

$$f^{-1}(A) = (\bigcup_{j \in A, j < n_o} f^{-1}(\{j\})) \cup ((\bigcup_{n \in A, n_o \leq n < n_o + p} \{i \mid f(i) \equiv (n \bmod p)\}) - (\bigcup_{j < n_o} f^{-1}(\{j\})))$$

Since $f \in H$, each of the sets $\{i \mid f(i) \equiv n \bmod p\}$ is u.p. From the assumption, $f^{-1}(\{j\})$ is u.p for every j . So, $f^{-1}(A)$ is u.p.

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Introduction
- 3 Characterization as u.p. preserving relations
- 4 U.p. degenerating relations
- 5 Regularity Preserving Functions
- 6 Applications**

- ➊ Given a binary relation, we can characterize it as a regularity preserving relation. If we have a regular language, we know for sure that a finite state automaton exists for the set of strings that satisfy the given relation.
- ➋ Can achieve performance enhancements on pattern matching.



J. I. Sieferas and R McNaughton.
Regularity-preserving relations.