Generating Verification Conditions from Annotated Programs

Deepak D'Souza

Department of Computer Science and Automation Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore.

13 March 2014

Outline

Overview of Verification

- 2 Hoare logic
- **3** How VCC generates VC's

Basic Idea of verification technology

- Given a program P with assert, assume, invariant annotations.
- P satisfies annotations if no execution of it "goes wrong".
 - An execution goes wrong if it violates an assert and passes all assume's till then.
- Translate it to an acyclic program with goto's P'.
- P' satisfies property that if P' does not go wrong then neither will P.
- Generate Verification Conditions (VC's) $\varphi_{P'}$ from P', such that $\varphi_{P'}$ is valid iff P' does not go wrong.
- Check validity of $\varphi_{P'}$ using an SMT solver like Z3.

Translating P to acyclic program P'

```
int min(int a, int b)
                                          assume \true
                                          int \result;
int min(int a, int b)
_(requires \true)
                                          goto iftrue, iffalse;
(ensures \result <= a &&
          \result \le b) {
                                  iftrue: assume a <= b
  if (a \le b)
                                          \result = a:
    return a;
                                          goto endif;
  else
    return b;
                                 iffalse: assume a > b;
                                          \result = b;
                                          goto endif;
                                  endif: assert \result <= a && \result <= b</pre>
```

Translating P to acyclic program P': function calls

```
int main() {
  int x, y, z;
  z = min(x, y);
  _(assert z <= x)
  return 0;
}</pre>
```

```
int main() {
  assume \true
  int \result, x, y, z;
  int res;
  assert \true
  assume res <= x && res
  z = res;
  assert z <= x
  \result = 0
  assert \true
```

unsigned div(unsigned x, d, *g, *r) {

Translating P to acyclic program P': loops with invariants

```
assume d > 0 && q != r
void div(unsigned x, unsigned d,
                                             int \result, lq, lr;
         unsigned *q, unsigned *r)
                                             lq = 0; lr = x;
_(requires d > 0 && q != r)
_(writes q, r)
                                            assert x == lq * d + rq
_(ensures x == d * (*q) + *r && *r < d)
                                             unsigned fresh lq, fresh lr;
  unsigned lq, lr;
                                             lq = fresh lq; lr = fresh lr;
 lq = 0;
 1r = x:
                                             assume x == lq * d + lr
  while(lr >= d)
                                             if !(lr >= d) goto loopexit
  _(invariant x == d * lq + lr) {
    lq++;
                                             1q++;
    lr = lr - d:
                                             lr = lr - d;
  *a = la:
                                             assert x == lq * d + rq
  *r = lr;
                                             assume \false
  return;
                                   loopexit: *q = lq; *r = lr;
                                             assert x == (*q) * d + *r && *r < d
```

Rules for Weakest Preconditions

- Let WP(L, Q), where L is a statement label in program P and
 Q is a post-condition on the state of P, denote the set of
 states s such that if we execute P starting at label L in state
 s, the execution never goes wrong, and if it terminates it does
 so in a state satisfying Q.
- Let M be the label of the statement following L. Below "goto N, 0" means non-deterministically branch to label N or label O. Then
 - $WP(L: assume A, Q) = A \implies WP(M, Q)$.
 - $WP(L: assert A, Q) = A \wedge WP(M, Q)$.
 - WP(L: x := e, Q) = WP(M, Q)[e/x].
 - $WP(L: goto N, O, Q) = WP(N, Q) \wedge WP(O, Q)$.

Generating VC's from an acyclic P'

- Label each program statement "L: ..." in P' by WP(L, true):
 - Begin from leaf nodes and proceed upwards to label a node if its control successors have been labelled.
- Output $\mathbb{A} \implies \varphi_0$ as the verification condition for P', where φ_0 is the WP at the start node of P'.
- Clearly, P' has no execution that goes wrong iff $\varphi_{P'}$ is valid (in other words it negation is unsatisfiable).

Generating VC's from an acyclic P': min example

```
int min(int a, int b)
                        [a \le b = > (a \le a \&\& a \le b)]
                                      && [a > b ==> (b \le a \&\& b \le b)]
          assume \true
                        [a \le b = > (a \le a \&\& a \le b)]
          int \result:
                                      && [a > b ==> (b \le a \&\& b \le b)]
                        [a \le b = > (a \le a \&\& a \le b)]
                                      && [a > b ==> (b \le a \&\& b \le b)]
          goto iftrue, iffalse;
                        a \le b = > (a \le a \&\& a \le b)
 iftrue: assume a <= b
                        a <= a && a <= b
          \result = a;
                        goto endif:
                        a > b ==> (b \le a \&\& b \le b)
iffalse: assume a > b;
                        b \le a \&\& b \le b
          \result = b;
                        goto endif;
                        endif: assert \result <= a && \result <= b
```

Generating VC's from an acyclic P': min example

```
int min(int a, int b)
                        [a \le b = > (a \le a \&\& a \le b)]
                                      && [a > b ==> (b <= a & & b <= b)]
          assume \true
                        [a \le b = > (a \le a \&\& a \le b)]
                                      && [a > b ==> (b <= a && b <= b)]
          int \result:
                        [a \le b = > (a \le a \&\& a \le b)]
                                      && [a > b ==> (b \le a \&\& b \le b)]
          goto iftrue, iffalse;
                        a \le b = > (a \le a \&\& a \le b)
iftrue: assume a <= b
                        a <= a && a <= b
          \result = a;
                        goto endif;
                        a > b ==> (b \le a \&\& b \le b)
iffalse: assume a > b;
                        b \le a \&\& b \le b
          \result = b:
                        goto endif:
                        endif: assert \result <= a && \result <= b
```

Final formula φ_{\min} generated (A is axioms known, like int a):

$$\mathbb{A} \implies [a \le b \implies (a \le a \land a \le b)] \land [a > b \implies (b \le a \land b \le b)]$$