

Mathematical Logic and Theorem Proving

Assignment 2 (First-Order Logic)

(Total marks 90. Due on Mon 24 Feb 2025)

1. Consider the FO signature $S = (r^{(2)}, f^{(1)})$. For each of the following formulas say whether they are satisfiable, unsatisfiable, and/or valid. (10)

- (a) $\forall x \exists y (r(x, y) \vee f(x) = y)$
- (b) $\forall x \forall y (r(x, y) \vee \neg r(x, y))$
- (c) $(x = y) \rightarrow f(f(x)) = f(f(y))$.
- (d) $\forall x r(x, x) \wedge (y = z) \wedge \neg r(f(y), f(z))$.

2. Use the formal semantics of FO formulas to show that (15)

- (a) $\forall x \varphi$ is logically equivalent to $\neg \exists x \neg \varphi$.
- (b) $\forall x \varphi \vee \psi$ is logically equivalent to $\forall x (\varphi \vee \psi)$, provided $x \notin \text{free}(\psi)$.
- (c) If $x \in \text{free}(\psi)$ then the above equivalence in part (b) above does *not* hold.

3. Your friend is having trouble deciding whether the following identities are correct. Help them out by saying which is correct and which is not. Justify your answers. (20)

- (a) $\exists x (\varphi \vee \psi) \equiv \exists x \varphi \vee \exists x \psi$
- (b) $\exists x (\varphi \wedge \psi) \equiv \exists x \varphi \wedge \exists x \psi$
- (c) $\forall x (\varphi \vee \psi) \equiv \forall x \varphi \vee \forall x \psi$
- (d) $\forall x (\varphi \wedge \psi) \equiv \forall x \varphi \wedge \forall x \psi$

4. Consider the FO-signature of equivalence relations $S_{eq} = (r^{(2)})$. Give S_{eq} sentences which define each of the following classes of structures: (10)

- (a) The relation r is an equivalence relation with at least two equivalence classes.
- (b) The relation r is an equivalence relation with an equivalence class containing at least two elements.

5. Following up on the suggestion given by Adithya in class, consider the FO signature $S = (\{\}, \{f^{(1)}\}, \{\})$ and the S -sentence (15)

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi = & \forall x \forall y (f(x) = f(y) \rightarrow x = y) \wedge \\ & \exists y \forall x \neg (f(x) = y). \end{aligned}$$

- (a) What does the sentence φ state?
- (b) The sentence φ appears to characterize S -structures with infinite domains. Yet we argued in class that infiniteness is not elementarily definable. Where is the catch?

6. A set $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is called a *spectrum* if there is an FO signature S and an S -sentence φ such that

$$X = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there exists a model with exactly } n \text{ elements satisfying } \varphi\}.$$

Show that the following sets are spectrums: (20)

- (a) The set $\{1, 3, 4\}$.
- (b) The set $\{3 \cdot k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1\}$ (i.e. the set of non-zero multiples of 3).
- (c) The set of squares greater than 0 (i.e. $\{1, 4, 9, 16, \dots\}$).