

# PROGRAM SYNTHESIS MEETS MACHINE LEARNING

Assignment 1

Due on: 29.01.2020

## 1. Hoare Logic.

- (a) Indicate whether or not the following Hoare triple is valid, based on the rules discussed in class. Justify your answer. (Small credit - S)

```
{ true }
while (x != 0)
  x = x + 1;
{ x = 0 }
```

- (b) Consider the following *non-deterministic assignment* construct: (Medium credit - M)

```
x := [v1, v2];
```

Here variable  $x$  is non-deterministically assigned the value of  $v_1$  or  $v_2$ .

- i. Consider the Hoare triple

$$\{ P \} x := [v_1, v_2] \{ \phi(x) \},$$

where  $\phi$  is some predicate containing  $x$ . What is the weakest precondition  $P$ ?

- ii. Consider the Hoare triple

$$\{ \phi(x) \} x := [v_1, v_2] \{ Q \},$$

where  $\phi$  is some predicate containing  $x$ . what is the strongest postcondition  $Q$ ?

- (c) For the following program, write the loop invariant. Also prove the validity of the Hoare triple, using the rules discussed in class. (M)

```
{ n ≥ 1 }
sum = 0;
i = 1;
while (i ≤ n)
do
  temp = i * i
  sum = sum + temp;
  i = i + 1;
{ (i > n) ∧ (sum = n * (n + 1) * (2n + 1)/6) }
```

## 2. Propositional Logic. Prove the validity/satisfiability of the following formulas: (S)

- (a)  $(p \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow p$   
(b)  $(p \wedge q \Rightarrow r) \wedge (p \wedge \neg q \Rightarrow r) \Leftrightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$   
(c)  $p \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee r) \wedge (\neg r \vee \neg p)$

3. **Davis Putnam Logeman Loveland (DPLL).** Prove the validity/satisfiability of the following formula using DPLL method: (M)

$$(p \vee (\neg q \wedge r)) \Leftrightarrow ((q \vee \neg r) \Rightarrow p)$$

- (a) Convert the formula into NNF form.  
 (b) Convert the resulting formula into CNF form.  
 (c) Use DPLL method to prove your claim on the CNF formula.
4. **EUF.** Apply the congruence closure algorithm to decide the satisfiability of the following EUF formula. (M)

$$(x = z) \wedge (f(g(x, y)) = g(x, f(y))) \wedge (g(f(x), f(y)) = y) \wedge (f(z) \neq x)$$

5. **PL/FOL.**

A finite graph is a pair  $G = (V, E)$  where  $V$  is a finite set of vertices and  $E$  is a finite set of 2-element subsets of  $V$  called the edge set. Given a set of  $k$ -colors  $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$ , the *2-hop coloring* for  $G$  is to assign a color  $c \in C$  for each vertex  $v \in V$  such that for every vertex in  $H_2(v)$  has a different color, where  $H_2(v)$  contains all vertices which are at most 2-hops away from  $v$  (i.e., vertex  $u \in H_2(v)$  iff  $u \in V$  and  $G$  has a path between  $v$  and  $u$  that has at most two edges).

Show how to encode an instance of a *2-hop coloring* problem into a propositional formula  $F$  that is satisfiable iff a *2-hop coloring* exists. (M)

- (a) Describe a set of propositional constraints asserting that every vertex is colored. Use the notation  $color(v) = c_i$  to indicate that a vertex  $v$  has the color  $c_i$ . Such an assertion is encodable as a single propositional variable  $p_v^{c_i}$ .  
 (b) Describe a set of propositional constraints asserting that every vertex has at most one color.  
 (c) Describe a set of propositional constraints asserting that for every vertex  $v \in V$  no two vertices in  $H_2(v)$  have the same color.  
 (d) Specify your constraints in CNF.
6. **Nelson-Oppen.** Apply Nelson-Oppen procedure to check satisfiability of the following formula: (M)

$$\begin{aligned}
 F = & f(f(z) + f(x)) \neq f(f(y) + f(x)) \\
 & \wedge x \leq y + z \\
 & \wedge z \geq 0 \\
 & \wedge w \geq 0 \\
 & \wedge x \leq y
 \end{aligned}$$