| Academic Year: 2023 | Term: August Term | Department: Computer Science and Automation |
| Instructor: Sumit Kumar Mandal | Course: Modeling and Simulation | Course Code: E0 240 |

Course Feedback Summary

Registered	Responded	Percentage
33	18	54

DEPTH OF COVERGE IN TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS

HIGH(3)	MODERATE(2)	LOW(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3)
12 (66.67%)	6 (33.33%)	0 (0%)	2.67

NOVELTY OF THE COURSE

VERY HIGH(5)	HIGH(4)	MODERATE(3)	LOW(2)	VERY LOW(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
6 (33.33%)	8 (44.44%)	4 (22.22%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.11

ORGANIZATION OF THE COURSE

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	BELOW AVERAGE(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
10 (55.56%)	7 (38.89%)	1 (5.56%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.50

COURSE TYPE

CORE(3)	SOFT CORE(2)	ELECTIVE(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3)
14 (77.78%)	4 (22.22%)	0 (0%)	2.78

COVERAGE OF CONTEMPORARY ADVANCED TOPICS

EXTENSIVE(5)	SUFFICIENT(4)	AVERGAGE(3)	LIMITED(2)	NEGLIGIBLE(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
5 (27.78%)	10 (55.56%)	2 (11.11%)	1 (5.56%)	0 (0%)	4.06

AVAILABILITY OF STUDY MATERIAL

EXTENSIVE(5)	SUFFICIENT(4)	AVERAGE(3)	LIMITED(2)	NEGLIGIBLE(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
10 (55.56%)	6 (33.33%)	2 (11.11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.44

MEETING LEARNING EXPECTATIONS

EXTREMELY SATISFIED(5)	WELL SATISFIED(4)	SATISFIED(3)	MARGINALLY SATISFIED(2)	NOT SATISFIED(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
8 (44.44%)	7 (38.89%)	1 (5.56%)	2 (11.11%)	0 (0%)	4.17

NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK SPENT BY STUDENT

0-4(5)	4-8(4)	8-12(3)	12-16(2)	ABOVE 16(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
10 (55.56%)	5 (27.78%)	3 (16.67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.39

OVERALL RATING OF THE COURSE

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	BELOW AVERAGE(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
9 (50%)	7 (38.89%)	1 (5.56%)	1 (5.56%)	0 (0%)	4.33

Additional Comments

Sl. No	Comments
1	INSTEAD OF HAVING SUCH A HIGH WEIGHTAGE FOR PROJECT, WE CAN HAVE EXAMS. WE CAN ALSO HAVE A LAB EXAM TO LEARN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COURSE IN REAL WORLD.
2	THIS COURSE WORKED MORE AS A RESPITE FROM THE BRUTALITY THAT WE WERE MY OTHER COURSES. ESPECIALLY SINCE WE HAVE NO EXAMS. I LOVED THE IDEA OF THE PROJECT BEING 50%. IT COULD BE A TAD MORE INTENSIVE.
3	IT WOULD HAVE BEEN HELPFUL IF THE PROJECT HAD A MORE CONCRETE SET OF PROBLEM STATEMENTS. IT MAKES IT EASIER FOR US TO UNDERSTAND THE COURSE EXPECTATIONS AND SAVES A LOT OF GUESSWORK.
	- TOPICS, ASSIGNMENT ORGANIZED IN A WELL STRUCTURED AND FOCUSED MANNER.

Sl. No	Comments
4	- IMPROVEMENT: FOR PROJECT DELIVERABLES, ALLOWING ROOM FOR REVISIONS TO IMPROVE REPORTS, ITS QUALITY/COVERAGE ACROSS PHASES.
5	COURSE CONTENT WAS NOT GOOD, VERY SHALLOW COVERAGE OF TOPICS, FELT LIKE SOME HIGH SCHOOL COURSE, PROOFS OF MOST OF THE THEOREMS WERE NOT DISCUSSED, A SINGLE GOOD PROJECT SHOULD HAVE BEEN GIVEN
6	Course organization can be made better with including more sections for Simulation.
7	Course covered was highly practical and included important tools and techniques that foster reasearch productivity.
8	There should be more coding assignments.
9	Really liked the open ended approach with no exam and project of your choosing having 50% weightage. Really motivates people to take initiative and projects.

Instructor Feedback Summary

Registered	Responded
33	18

Sl No.	Question	Excellent	Good	Average	Satisfactory	Poor	Weighted Average(Out of 5)
1	EXPERTISE IN THE SUBJECT	10	6	2	0	0	4.44
2	LEVEL OF PREPARATION FOR THE LECTURES	13	4	1	0	0	4.67
3	CLARITY OF EXPRESSION AND PRESENTATION	14	1	3	0	0	4.61
4	PACE OF TEACHING	10	7	1	0	0	4.50
5	COVERAGE OF THE SUBJECT	7	9	1	1	0	4.22
6	MOTIVATION FOR ADVANCED LEARNING	8	5	4	1	0	4.11
7	RESPONSIVENESS AND ENCOURAGEMENT TO QUESTIONS	15	2	1	0	0	4.78
8	ACCESSBILITY OUTSIDE THE CLASS	14	3	1	0	0	4.72
9	RELEVANCE OF TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS IN THE CLASS	11	3	2	2	0	4.28
10	DISCUSSION OF TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS IN THE CLASS	8	7	2	1	0	4.22
11	OVERALL RATING	10	6	2	0	0	4.44

Instructor Comments

Sl No.	Comments
1	IF WE COULD ALSO GET A SAMPLE CODE FOR THE CODING ASSIGNMENTS, JUST SO THAT WE CAN GET OUR DOUBTS CLEARED.
2	SUMIT SIR DID A GREAT JOB WITH THIS COURSE, MORE SO GIVEN ITS BEEN TAKEN AFTER SO MANY YEARS. HIS PACE WAS COMFORTING, IF A BIT SLOW. BUT EVERYTHING WAS ABSORBED IN EVERY CLASS. (❀´ ?❀)
3	- EXCELLENT COMMUNICATION - FLEXIBLE, FRIENDLY AND APPROACHABLE. - CONNECTS WITH STUDENTS THAT HELPS IN IMPROVEMENTS - PRIORITIZES PRACTICAL AND HANDS-ON APPROACH IN TEACHING
4	MARKS WERE DEDUCTED NOT FOR CONTENT BUT FOR SPELLING, COLOR OF GRAPHS, AND OTHER USELESS STUFF
5	The way of teaching is very good from student's point of view. It becomes easy in understanding concepts. Demonstration of tools like Latex and Origin not only helps in this subject but will be useful in future as well.
6	Always available for clarification of any doubts. Teaching techniques are modern and practical.
7	Appreciate the interactive way of classes and approachable and friendly attitude.