Academic Year: 2024	**Term:** August Term	**Department:** Computer Science and Automation
Instructor: Vinod Ganapathy	**Course:** Theory and Practice of Computer Systems Security	**Course Code:** E0 256

Course Feedback Summary

Registered	Responded	Percentage
21	7	33

DEPTH OF COVERGE IN TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS

HIGH(3)	MODERATE(2)	LOW(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3)
6 (85.71%)	1 (14.29%)	0 (0%)	2.86

NOVELTY OF THE COURSE

VERY HIGH(5)	HIGH(4)	MODERATE(3)	LOW(2)	VERY LOW(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
4 (57.14%)	3 (42.86%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.57

ORGANIZATION OF THE COURSE

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	BELOW AVERAGE(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
6 (85.71%)	1 (14.29%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.86

COURSE TYPE

CORE(3)	SOFT CORE(2)	ELECTIVE(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3)
6 (85.71%)	0 (0%)	1 (14.29%)	2.71

COVERAGE OF CONTEMPORARY ADVANCED TOPICS

EXTENSIVE(5)	SUFFICIENT(4)	AVERGAGE(3)	LIMITED(2)	NEGLIGIBLE(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
0 (0%)	7 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.00

AVAILABILITY OF STUDY MATERIAL

EXTENSIVE(5)	SUFFICIENT(4)	AVERAGE(3)	LIMITED(2)	NEGLIGIBLE(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
3 (42.86%)	4 (57.14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.43

MEETING LEARNING EXPECTATIONS

EXTREMELY SATISFIED(5)	WELL SATISFIED(4)	SATISFIED(3)	MARGINALLY SATISFIED(2)	NOT SATISFIED(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
2 (28.57%)	4 (57.14%)	1 (14.29%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.14

NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK SPENT BY STUDENT

0-4(5)	4-8(4)	8-12(3)	12-16(2)	ABOVE 16(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
0 (0%)	4 (57.14%)	3 (42.86%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3.57

OVERALL RATING OF THE COURSE

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	BELOW AVERAGE(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
4 (57.14%)	3 (42.86%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.57

Additional Comments

Sl. No	Comments
1	Very good course. This course gives a flavour of a large range of topics in Computer Security. Considering the breadth of this subject, this course covers a lot of ground with adequate depth. This course motivates to further progress on the path to become a good computer security scientist.
2	I don't what is with the course. I literally tried hard for the exam and knew every concept but could not score well. It was very frustrating and hurtful. The course project is overwhelming considering the fact that student are not well-verse with certain tools giving some students an upper hand. We can't even ask anyone about how to approach making it difficult to do the project. Learning curve is good but expecting so many things in one go and expecting students to a huge assignment in the midst of other courses makes it tough manage it.
	- Well structured course syllabus and content

Sl. No	Comments
3	- Challenging project to develop understanding of core system concepts covering OS and compilers to apply security principles - Good quality and quantity reading materials to obtain in-depth knowledge and foundation of topic -

Instructor Feedback Summary

Registered	Responded
21	7

Sl No.	Question	Excellent	Good	Average	Satisfactory	Poor	Weighted Average(Out of 5)
1	EXPERTISE IN THE SUBJECT	7	0	0	0	0	5.00
2	LEVEL OF PREPARATION FOR THE LECTURES	5	1	1	0	0	4.57
3	CLARITY OF EXPRESSION AND PRESENTATION	3	4	0	0	0	4.43
4	PACE OF TEACHING	3	3	0	1	0	4.14
5	COVERAGE OF THE SUBJECT	2	5	0	0	0	4.29
6	MOTIVATION FOR ADVANCED LEARNING	3	4	0	0	0	4.43
7	RESPONSIVENESS AND ENCOURAGEMENT TO QUESTIONS	2	4	1	0	0	4.14
8	ACCESSBILITY OUTSIDE THE CLASS	2	3	2	0	0	4.00
9	RELEVANCE OF TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS IN THE CLASS	3	3	1	0	0	4.29
10	DISCUSSION OF TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS IN THE CLASS	2	2	2	1	0	3.71
11	OVERALL RATING	6	1	0	0	0	4.86

Instructor Comments

Sl No.	Comments
1	Prof. Vinod is an excellent teacher. His way of teaching is so lucid that we don't really know that class is over. He keeps the art of story telling at the centre while keeping core concepts around it to make it more interesting. His pace of teaching is little bit faster for someone who really never did a course in security. Overall he is very capable teacher and it was my privilege to learn from him.
2	Please make the project have more weights as compared to the tests Also give the alternatives for the tests if performance is not good
	The faculty is most amazing faculty. Some nitpickings: Make it more real-life so that students can feel more about the security. Introduce cybersecurity as it is a hot topic and interesting work happens. Also giving less burden to student and understanding their POV is quite helpful from the faculty side. A top tier institute should not focus of older education

SI No.	Comments
3	system but instead focus on skill development and experiencial learning which bring overall development of the course. Other college even though is not rigourous or stressfull but students perform well as they are least stressful. For the betterment department can evolve and be the best not just in research but overall aspect. Otherwise it was a fun course to have. PS: Open the feedback form 2 times so that students can freely express their views and faculties can also understand how to improve on the curriculum.
4	- Excellent communication and teaching - Engaging class room session and available offline and online to clarify doubts and other questions - Provide clarity and opens up for discussion on off-syllabus security principles and engages in a productive discussion