

Academic Year: 2025	Term: August Term	Department: Computer Science and Automation
Instructor: Vinod Ganapathy	Course: Theory and Practice of Computer Systems Security	Course Code: E0 256

Course Feedback Summary

Registered	Responded	Percentage
30	13	43

Did the course meet the learning outcomes indicated at the beginning of the course/scheme of instruction?

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	FAIR(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5.00

Were an adequate number of topics covered in the course?

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	FAIR(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
12 (92.31%)	1 (7.69%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.92

Were the topics covered in sufficient depth?

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	FAIR(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
11 (84.62%)	2 (15.38%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.85

Were homeworks/problem sets/assignments useful to enhance understanding of the topics covered?

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	FAIR(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
11 (84.62%)	2 (15.38%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.85

Was sufficient reference/reading material available?

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	FAIR(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5.00

Were the sessional assessments appropriate for the topics covered?

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	FAIR(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
10 (76.92%)	2 (15.38%)	1 (7.69%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.69

Were the average hours per week spent on the course appropriate for the number of credits? (For e.g., 3 credit course =

APPROPRIATE HOURS AS EXPECTED(5)	MORE HOURS THAN EXPECTED(3)	FEWER HOURS THAN EXPECTED(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3)
11 (84.62%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)	4.54

If your course has a lab component (for 1:1, 2:1 or 3:1 courses), did the lab complement the course lectures and lead to

SATISFACTORY(5)	UNSATISFACTOR Y(1)	NOT APPLICABLE(0)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3)
7 (53.85%)	1 (7.69%)	5 (38.46%)	4.50

If your course has a lab component (for 1:1, 2:1 or 3:1 courses), was the given time appropriate/sufficient for the assign

SATISFACTORY(5)	UNSATISFACTOR Y(1)	NOT APPLICABLE(0)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3)
8 (61.54%)	0 (0%)	5 (38.46%)	5.00

Your overall rating of the course:

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	FAIR(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5.00

What positives would you highlight about the course and/or the instructor(s)?

Sl. No	Answers
--------	---------

Sl. No	Answers
1	Course content covered various topics. Instructor made the course very interesting and attractive by first giving real time application then explaining the concept.
2	Instructor taught, encouraged student analysis of basics of system security with focus on practical and real world challenges involved.
3	Everyday was something new. I learnt A LOT in this course. Loved it. 10/10 easily and will recommend anyone who has interest in this field!
4	Excellent teaching skills. Best Professor.
5	I really enjoyed attending the course and studying about the subject. The instructor has excellent content delivery skills apart from subject knowledge.
6	Great teaching methodology and content coverage.
7	Excellent course organization, Instructor's energy and passion for the subject is infectious

What are your suggestions for improvement?

Sl No.	Answers
1	Kindly conduct frequent quizzes and fix a solid project evaluation criteria.
2	More practical HW's. I really liked the first VM HW of actually hacking. Similar HW would be great, or atleast refer them with a threat they be referenced in exam would be great!
3	More practical assignments to practice/implement what was taught would be good
4	TAs should be more responsive and helpful.
5	The course is loaded with a lot of theoretical content. The weight distribution for project component seems comparatively less. I recommend to increase the project weightage and decrease the course contents somewhat as it involves a lot of research paper reading and understanding of new concepts.
6	More lab/tutorial sessions should have been conducted, giving a better hands on for the theory studied in lectures. Only one tutorial class was conducted in the entire course duration
7	I would have liked if the course project and its evaluation was a little more involved.

Instructor Feedback Summary

Registered	Responded
30	13

SI No.	Question	Excellent	Good	Average	Satisfactory	Poor	Weighted Average(Out of 5)
1	Did the instructor adhere to the scheduled class hours/timings?	13	0	0			5.00
2	Were the lectures well-paced?	12	1	0	0	0	4.92
3	Were the assignments/sessional examinations/quizzes graded on time?	4	4	3	0	2	3.62
4	Were the sessional assessments fair?	9	2	2	0	0	4.54
5	Were the assignments, quizzes, and mid-term exams adequately discussed?	8	3	1	0	1	4.31
6	Did the instructor motivate you to learn more about the subject?	13	0	0	0	0	5.00
7	Did the instructor encourage student participation in class?	11	2	0	0	0	4.85
8	Was the instructor effective in communicating the contents of the course?	13	0	0	0	0	5.00
9	Your overall rating of the instructor:	13	0	0	0	0	5.00