

| | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Academic Year: 2022 | Term: January Term | Department: Computer Science and Automation |
| Instructor: Vinod Ganapathy | Course: Operating Systems | Course Code: E0 253 |

Course Feedback Summary

| Registered | Responded | Percentage |
|------------|-----------|------------|
| 22 | 8 | 36 |

NOVELTY OF THE COURSE

| VERY HIGH(5) | HIGH(4) | MODERATE(3) | LOW(2) | VERY LOW(1) | WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5) |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|--------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| 5 (62.5%) | 3 (37.5%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 4.63 |

ORGANIZATION OF THE COURSE

| EXCELLENT(5) | GOOD(4) | AVERAGE(3) | BELOW AVERAGE(2) | POOR(1) | WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| 7 (87.5%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (12.5%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 4.75 |

COVERAGE OF CONTEMPORARY ADVANCED TOPICS

| EXTENSIVE(5) | SUFFICIENT(4) | AVERGAGE(3) | LIMITED(2) | NEGLIGIBLE(1) | WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5) |
|--------------|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| 6 (75%) | 2 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 4.75 |

AVAILABILITY OF STUDY MATERIAL

| EXTENSIVE(5) | SUFFICIENT(4) | AVERAGE(3) | LIMITED(2) | NEGLIGIBLE(1) | WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5) |
|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| 6 (75%) | 2 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 4.75 |

MEETING LEARNING EXPECTATIONS

| EXTREMELY SATISFIED(5) | WELL SATISFIED(4) | SATISFIED(3) | MARGINALLY SATISFIED(2) | NOT SATISFIED(1) | WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5) |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| 7 (87.5%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (12.5%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 4.75 |

NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK SPENT BY STUDENT

| 0-4(5) | 4-8(4) | 8-12(3) | 12-16(2) | ABOVE 16(1) | WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5) |
|-----------|--------|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| 3 (37.5%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (37.5%) | 2 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 3.50 |

OVERALL RATING OF THE COURSE

| EXCELLENT(5) | GOOD(4) | AVERAGE(3) | BELOW AVERAGE(2) | POOR(1) | WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| 6 (75%) | 2 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 4.75 |

DEPTH OF COVERGE IN TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS

| HIGH(3) | MODERATE(2) | LOW(1) | WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3) |
|----------|-------------|--------|-----------------------------|
| 8 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 3.00 |

COURSE TYPE

| CORE(3) | SOFT CORE(2) | ELECTIVE(1) | WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3) |
|----------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| 8 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 3.00 |

Additional Comments

| Sl. No | Comments |
|--------|--|
| 1 | ONE OF THE BEST COURSE IN OUR DEPARTMENT |
| 2 | CONCURRENCY AND SYNCHRONIZATION PART WAS NOT COVERED. SCHEDULER PROJECT WAS QUITE DIFFICULT SHOULD HAVE BEEN GIVEN IN GROUP OF TWO PEOPLE. |

Instructor Feedback Summary

| Registered | Responded |
|------------|-----------|
| 22 | 8 |

| SI No. | Question | Excellent | Good | Average | Satisfactory | Poor | Weighted Average(Out of 5) |
|--------|--|-----------|------|---------|--------------|------|----------------------------|
| 1 | EXPERTISE IN THE SUBJECT | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.00 |
| 2 | LEVEL OF PREPARATION FOR THE LECTURES | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.88 |
| 3 | CLARITY OF EXPRESSION AND PRESENTATION | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.63 |
| 4 | PACE OF TEACHING | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4.13 |
| 5 | COVERAGE OF THE SUBJECT | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.75 |
| 6 | MOTIVATION FOR ADVANCED LEARNING | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.88 |
| 7 | RESPONSIVENESS AND ENCOURAGEMENT TO QUESTIONS | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.00 |
| 8 | ACCESSIBILITY OUTSIDE THE CLASS | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.88 |
| 9 | RELEVANCE OF TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS IN THE CLASS | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.63 |
| 10 | DISCUSSION OF TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS IN THE CLASS | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.63 |
| 11 | OVERALL RATING | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.88 |

Instructor Comments

| SI No. | Comments |
|--------|--|
| 1 | ONE THE BEST INSTRUCTORS IN ENTIRE CSA DEPARTMENT. ESPECIALLY THE WAY HE PICKS UP ONE OF THE FINEST WRITTEN PAPERS IN THE HISTORY OF CS, WHICH TEACH US HOW GOOD PAPERS SHOULD BE WRITTEN. AHA MOMENT. |
| 2 | VINOD SIR IS ONE OF THE BEST TEACHER OUR DEPARTMENT HAVE. HIS TEACHING SKILLS ARE EXEMPLARY. |
| 3 | PACE OF TEACHING IS QUITE FAST AND THE LECTURES USED TO FINISH IN LESS THAN 1 AND HALF HOURS, IT COULD HAVE BEEN BETTER IF PACE OF TEACHING WAS LITTLE BIT SLOWER. MENTION SOME TERMS WITHOUT EXPLAINING |