Academic Year: 2023	Term: January Term	<b>Department:</b> Computer Science and Automation
Instructor: Vinod Ganapathy	Course:Computer Systems	Course Code: UMC 102

## **Course Feedback Summary**

Registered	Responded	Percentage
63	13	20

#### **NOVELTY OF THE COURSE**

VERY HIGH(5)	HIGH(4)	MODERATE(3)	LOW(2)	VERY LOW(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
9 (69.23%)	3 (23.08%)	1 (7.69%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.62

#### **ORGANIZATION OF THE COURSE**

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	BELOW AVERAGE(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
9 (69.23%)	2 (15.38%)	2 (15.38%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.54

### COVERAGE OF CONTEMPORARY ADVANCED TOPICS

EXTENSIVE(5)	SUFFICIENT(4)	AVERGAGE(3)	LIMITED(2)	NEGLIGIBLE(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
8 (61.54%)	2 (15.38%)	3 (23.08%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.38

#### AVAILABILITY OF STUDY MATERIAL

EXTENSIVE(5)	SUFFICIENT(4)	AVERAGE(3)	LIMITED(2)	NEGLIGIBLE(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
7 (53.85%)	4 (30.77%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)	0 (0%)	4.31

## **MEETING LEARNING EXPECTATIONS**

EXTREMELY SATISFIED(5)	WELL SATISFIED(4)	SATISFIED(3)	MARGINALLY SATISFIED(2)	NOT SATISFIED(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
7 (53.85%)	2 (15.38%)	3 (23.08%)	0 (0%)	1 (7.69%)	4.08

#### OVERALL RATING OF THE COURSE

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	BELOW AVERAGE(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
8 (61.54%)	3 (23.08%)	2 (15.38%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.46

#### NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK SPENT BY STUDENT

0-4(5)	4-8(4)	8-12(3)	12-16(2)	ABOVE 16(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
3 (23.08%)	7 (53.85%)	3 (23.08%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.00

#### **COURSE TYPE**

CORE(3)	SOFT CORE(2)	ELECTIVE(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3)
13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3.00

### **DEPTH OF COVERGE IN TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS**

HIGH(3)	MODERATE(2)	LOW(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3)
9 (69.23%)	4 (30.77%)	0 (0%)	2.69

## **Additional Comments**

SI. No	Comments
1	Adding a lab component to the systems course would be really useful.
2	Need labs
3	It's good but feels a little bit disconnected. It'll be also better to include assignments as a part of the weightage in the grading.
4	The course was amazing. It really interest me.

# Instructor Feedback Summary

Registered	Responded
63	13

SI No.	Question	Excellent	Good	Average	Satisfactory	Poor	Weighted Average(Out of 5)
1	EXPERTISE IN THE SUBJECT	11	2	0	0	0	4.85
2	LEVEL OF PREPARATION FOR THE LECTURES	11	2	0	0	0	4.85
3	CLARITY OF EXPRESSION AND PRESENTATION	9	2	2	0	0	4.54
4	PACE OF TEACHING	7	0	3	3	0	3.85
5	COVERAGE OF THE SUBJECT	10	0	3	0	0	4.54
6	MOTIVATION FOR ADVANCED LEARNING	10	1	1	0	1	4.46
7	RESPONSIVENESS AND ENCOURAGEMENT TO QUESTIONS	11	1	1	0	0	4.77
8	ACCESSBILITY OUTSIDE THE CLASS	7	1	4	1	0	4.08
9	RELEVANCE OF TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS IN THE CLASS	7	2	3	1	0	4.15
10	DISCUSSION OF TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS IN THE CLASS	9	2	1	1	0	4.46
11	OVERALL RATING	9	2	2	0	0	4.54

# **Instructor Comments**

SI No.	Comments
1	Look, mom, I'm famous.
2	Really good but a bit fast.
3	Professor really taught us well. The course was very interesting and great. His way of teaching was a bit fast but very clear. I learnt many things about computer architecture. Although it would have been better if he provided some more practice questions, which felt very less.