

Academic Year: 2024

Term: January Term

Department: Computer Science and Automation

Instructor: Vinod Ganapathy

Course: Computer Systems

Course Code: UMC 102

Course Feedback Summary

Registered	Responded	Percentage
66	7	10

DEPTH OF COVERGE IN TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS

HIGH(3)	MODERATE(2)	LOW(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3)
3 (42.86%)	2 (28.57%)	2 (28.57%)	2.14

NOVELTY OF THE COURSE

VERY HIGH(5)	HIGH(4)	MODERATE(3)	LOW(2)	VERY LOW(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
4 (57.14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14.29%)	2 (28.57%)	3.43

ORGANIZATION OF THE COURSE

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	BELOW AVERAGE(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
2 (28.57%)	2 (28.57%)	0 (0%)	3 (42.86%)	0 (0%)	3.43

COURSE TYPE

CORE(3)	SOFT CORE(2)	ELECTIVE(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3)
7 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3.00

COVERAGE OF CONTEMPORARY ADVANCED TOPICS

EXTENSIVE(5)	SUFFICIENT(4)	AVERGAGE(3)	LIMITED(2)	NEGLIGIBLE(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
2 (28.57%)	3 (42.86%)	2 (28.57%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.00

AVAILABILITY OF STUDY MATERIAL

EXTENSIVE(5)	SUFFICIENT(4)	AVERAGE(3)	LIMITED(2)	NEGLIGIBLE(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
2 (28.57%)	5 (71.43%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.29

MEETING LEARNING EXPECTATIONS

EXTREMELY SATISFIED(5)	WELL SATISFIED(4)	SATISFIED(3)	MARGINALLY SATISFIED(2)	NOT SATISFIED(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
2 (28.57%)	2 (28.57%)	1 (14.29%)	0 (0%)	2 (28.57%)	3.29

NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK SPENT BY STUDENT

0-4(5)	4-8(4)	8-12(3)	12-16(2)	ABOVE 16(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
0 (0%)	3 (42.86%)	1 (14.29%)	3 (42.86%)	0 (0%)	3.00

OVERALL RATING OF THE COURSE

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	BELOW AVERAGE(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
4 (57.14%)	0 (0%)	1 (14.29%)	2 (28.57%)	0 (0%)	3.86

Additional Comments

Sl. No	Comments
1	I loved the course but felt we could have made this a course with a lab and remove the electronics course. this would hvae been a lot more beneficial. I feel we should have covered the whole book(have more classes). Damn electronics man. But the course itself has no issues but leaving my curiosity not satisfied.
2	It would be ideal if the course was made into a 4 credit course or rather just by giving more time for lectures than just 150 minutes per week.
3	I have a query about grading. How come that the syllabus for end semester exam would be the total topics covered in semester but the weightage is same as that of mid semester exam which has half the syllabus of end semester exam?

SI. No	Comments
4	Very relevant and interesting course, but the subject matter covered in the span of four months is quite vast and hard to keep up with, especially since BTech students have 5 courses this semester.

Instructor Feedback Summary

Registered	Responded
66	7

SI No.	Question	Excellent	Good	Average	Satisfactory	Poor	Weighted Average(Out of 5)
1	CLARITY OF EXPRESSION AND PRESENTATION	4	1	0	2	0	4.00
2	MOTIVATION FOR ADVANCED LEARNING	4	1	0	0	2	3.71
3	PACE OF TEACHING	2	1	1	3	0	3.29
4	ACCESSIBILITY OUTSIDE THE CLASS	5	2	0	0	0	4.71
5	OVERALL RATING	4	1	0	2	0	4.00
6	RESPONSIVENESS AND ENCOURAGEMENT TO QUESTIONS	5	2	0	0	0	4.71
7	EXPERTISE IN THE SUBJECT	4	1	2	0	0	4.29
8	LEVEL OF PREPARATION FOR THE LECTURES	4	1	0	0	2	3.71
9	RELEVANCE OF TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS IN THE CLASS	4	1	2	0	0	4.29
10	DISCUSSION OF TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS IN THE CLASS	4	1	2	0	0	4.29
11	COVERAGE OF THE SUBJECT	3	2	0	2	0	3.86

Instructor Comments

SI No.	Comments
1	The prof has been wonderful leaving out the part of the midterm exam questions(some i was stupid and some questions were too long). but as a teacher he was lovely no compliants. rather looking forward to learning more things from him, if possible
2	Extremely well versed and is a treasure of knowledge, doesn't hesitate to teach as many times to clarify the concepts. Though, his pace could be a bit slower, but considering that this is a 3 credit course and the amount time vs content he has to complete teaching, the pace was required. It would be ideal if the course was made into a 4 credit course or rather just by giving more time for lectures than just 150 minutes per week.
3	The course has been very engaging and enjoyable. Prof. Vinod's teaching gave me conceptual clarity, and he often delved into subtleties and connections which were eye opening for me. The course was quite fast paced, though, and for someone like me who doesn't have prior foundations in CS, was a difficult to keep pace with, even though I tried

SI No.	Comments
	reading the textbook. But given that we tried to cover the entire subject in four months, i don't see any other way it could have been done. However, all in all, It was a great experience and I learnt a lot.